

Journal Pre-proof

Stress-related psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic

Katie A. McLaughlin, Maya L. Rosen, Steven W. Kasperek, Alexandra M. Rodman



PII: S0005-7967(22)00092-4

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2022.104121>

Reference: BRT 104121

To appear in: *Behaviour Research and Therapy*

Received Date: 11 February 2022

Revised Date: 29 April 2022

Accepted Date: 13 May 2022

Please cite this article as: McLaughlin, K.A., Rosen, M.L., Kasperek, S.W., Rodman, A.M., Stress-related psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic, *Behaviour Research and Therapy* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2022.104121>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

All co-authors contributed to drafting and revising the manuscript for publication.

Journal Pre-proof

Stress-Related Psychopathology during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Katie A. McLaughlin, Ph.D.,¹ Maya L. Rosen, Ph.D.,¹ Steven W. Kasparek, M.S.,¹ & Alexandra M. Rodman, Ph.D.¹

¹ Department of Psychology, Harvard University

The corresponding author is:

Katie A. McLaughlin, Ph.D.
33 Kirkland Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-496-1468
kmclaughlin@fas.harvard.edu

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (R01-MH103291; R01-MH106482; R37-MH119194 to KM; K99-MH126163 to AR), the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (K99-HD099203 to MR), and the National Science Foundation (GRF to SK). We would also like to thank Beyond Bounds Creative for their help in creating the Figure.

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has introduced widespread societal changes that have required ongoing adaptation. Unsurprisingly, stress-related psychopathology has increased during the pandemic, in both children and adults. We review these patterns through the lens of several leading conceptual models of the link between stress and psychopathology. Some of these models focus on characteristics of environmental stressors—including cumulative risk, specific stressor types, and stress sensitization approaches. Understanding the specific aspects of environmental stressors that are most likely to lead to psychopathology can shed light on who may be in most need of clinical intervention. Other models center on factors that can buffer against the onset of psychopathology following stress and the mechanisms through which stressors contribute to emergent psychopathology. These models highlight specific psychosocial processes that may be most usefully targeted by interventions to reduce stress-related psychopathology. We review evidence for each of these stress models in the context of other widescale community-level disruptions, like natural disasters and terrorist attacks, alongside emerging evidence for these stress pathways from the COVID-19 pandemic. We discuss clinical implications for developing interventions to reduce stress-related psychopathology during the pandemic, with a focus on brief, digital interventions that may be more accessible than traditional clinical services.

Keywords: stress, psychopathology, COVID-19 pandemic, buffers, mechanisms

The COVID-19 pandemic has produced massive societal changes that have touched nearly every aspect of daily life. Social isolation, health threats, employment and financial instability, increased caretaking responsibilities, difficulty accessing basic necessities, reduced contact with family and friends, loss of loved ones to the coronavirus, dramatic changes to the structure of work and school, and disruption of daily routines are but a few of the innumerable challenges that have been routinely encountered during the pandemic (Gruber et al., 2021). The continued rise and fall of virus case counts related to the emergence of new variants has introduced ongoing uncertainty and a reduced sense of control for many over the two years since the coronavirus was discovered. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the prevalence of mental health problems among children, adolescents, and adults has increased since the start of the pandemic, with estimates from longitudinal studies and meta-analyses documenting meaningful increases in depression and anxiety, in particular, relative to pre-pandemic levels (Holman, Thompson, Garfin, & Silver, 2020; Pierce et al., 2020; Racine et al., 2021; Robinson, Sutin, Daly, & Jones, 2022; Rosen et al., 2021; T. Wu et al., 2021).

Stressful experiences involve changes in the environment that require adaptation; stress is typically perceived when the demands of a situation outweigh one's ability to cope effectively with those demands (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Monroe, 2008). Experiences that involve threat, danger, or potential harm (e.g., risk of serious illness or death from the coronavirus), loss (e.g., of loved ones, income, status, employment), uncertainty and unpredictability (e.g., changing health guidance, insecure access to childcare, introduction of new variants), and lack of control are particularly likely to be perceived as stressful and are powerful predictors of the emergence of mental health problems (Brown, Harris, & Hepworth, 1995; Dickerson & Kemeny, 2004; Kendler, Hettema, Butera, Gardner, & Prescott, 2003; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984).

Unfortunately, these types of experiences have been remarkably common during the pandemic and have required ongoing adaptation. At the same time, access to many effective coping strategies and protective factors that can buffer against the emergence of psychopathology following experiences of stress, such as social support, has been more difficult during much of the pandemic.

Stressful experiences have not occurred at random during the pandemic, with racial and ethnic minority communities experiencing disproportionately greater increases in infection rates, hospitalizations, and deaths (Macias Gil et al., 2020; Mude, Oguoma, Nyanhanda, Mwanri, & Njue, 2021), along with myriad other stressors. Some have declared this period a “pandemic on a pandemic,” whereby Black and Asian communities, in particular, are simultaneously experiencing the racial trauma of police brutality (i.e., George Floyd murder) and the rise in hate crimes against Asians fueled by public rhetoric about the origins of the pandemic, respectively (Gover, Harper, & Langton, 2020; Laurencin & Walker, 2020). Although population representative data indicate that White adults had the highest rates of anxiety and depression prior to the pandemic, the relative increases in these mental health problems during the pandemic were more pronounced for Asian, Hispanic, and Black adults relative to Whites (Thomeer, Moody, & Yahirun, 2022). Disparities in access to mental health care for these groups relative to Whites also widened during the pandemic (Thomeer et al., 2022).

The widespread exposure to pandemic-related stressors along with increases in mental health problems and disparities over the first two years of the pandemic highlights the urgent need for new approaches to intervention to prevent stress-related psychopathology. These efforts should be guided by the substantial existing theory and scholarship on the links between stress and psychopathology across the life-course. Here, we review several leading theoretical

approaches to conceptualizing stress-related psychopathology that can be leveraged to determine who may be in greatest need of intervention during the pandemic and what the targets of such interventions could be (see Figure 1). Some of these approaches focus primarily on the environmental aspect of stress, as in cumulative risk and stress sensitization models, which are relevant for understanding which groups might be most vulnerable to stress-related psychopathology. Other models emphasize a transactional perspective centered on stress perception and appraisal or the role of key mediators or moderators of the link between stress and psychopathology, which can be used to inform intervention strategies to prevent stress-related mental health problems. We highlight existing empirical evidence for these stress frameworks from prior widescale community stressors, such as natural disasters and terrorist attacks, that provide parallels to some of the experiences encountered during a pandemic (e.g., experiences involving threat, shared exposure to stressors among community members, dissolution of social support networks, etc.), as well as recently emerging research on their relevance to stress-related psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Cumulative Risk

Cumulative risk approaches to conceptualizing stress emerged from early work in developmental psychopathology demonstrated that youth who experience multiple stressors in are at greater risk for psychopathology than those who experience a single stressor (Rutter, 1979; Sameroff et al., 1987). This approach involves counting the number of stressful experiences or risk factors someone has encountered to create a risk score (Evans et al., 2013). A critical assumption in cumulative risk models is that the number of exposures to adverse events has an additive effect on health-related outcomes. Numerous population-based studies have demonstrated that as the number of adverse experiences increases, so does the likelihood of

developing psychopathology (Green et al., 2010; McLaughlin et al., 2012). The cumulative risk approach has numerous notable advantages. Inclusion of multiple stressors accounts for a larger proportion of the variance in psychopathology outcomes than models focused on individual events (Evans et al., 2013), and substantial evidence suggests that exposure to distinct stressors co-occur at moderate rates (Dong et al., 2004; Finkelhor, Ormrod, Turner, & Hamby, 2005; McLaughlin et al., 2012). Cumulative risk indices have also been criticized for ignoring meaningful distinctions among different experiences, such as their timing, duration, and severity (McLaughlin & Sheridan, 2016; McLaughlin, Sheridan, Humphreys, Belsky, & Ellis, 2021). Nevertheless, the cumulative risk framework remains a dominant approach for modeling risk for psychopathology following adverse experiences.

The cumulative risk framework has proved useful for capturing the degree of risk for emergent mental health problems following community-wide stressors, such as natural disasters and terrorist attacks. Because these types of events often involve unique individual-level stressors (e.g., life threat, destruction of property, flooding, exposure to dead bodies, etc.), many studies create cumulative risk indices that are tailored to the particular types of stressful experiences that accompany these large-scale events (Galea et al., 2007; McLaughlin, Fairbank, et al., 2009). The number of unique stressors encountered as well as the proximity to the event (e.g., residence in New Orleans during Hurricane Katrina, distance to the epicenter of an earthquake) (Galea et al., 2002; Galea et al., 2007) are often included in cumulative risk indices.

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses indicate consistently that the cumulative degree of exposure to stressors during these types of community-level disruptions is associated with increased risk for the onset of anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), substance abuse, behavior problems, and other forms of psychopathology (Goldmann & Galea,

2014; Neria, Nandi, & Galea, 2008; Norris, 1992; Norris, Friedman, & Watson, 2002). The increasing likelihood of psychopathology associated with increasing exposure to stressors has been observed across different event types, including hurricanes (Galea et al., 2007; LaGreca, Silverman, Vernberg, & Prinstein, 1996; McLaughlin, Fairbank, et al., 2009), tsunamis (Catani et al., 2010), earthquakes (Lai, Chang, Connor, Lee, & Davidson, 2004), floods (Verger et al., 2003), man-made disasters (e.g., nuclear disasters, oil spills) (Loganovsky et al., 2008; Lowe et al., 2019; Osofsky, Osofsky, Weems, Hansel, & King, 2016), and terrorist attacks (Cohen Silver, Holman, McIntosh, Poulin, & Gil-Rivas, 2002; Galea et al., 2008; Galea et al., 2003). Similar patterns have been observed for both children and adults and across numerous forms of psychopathology. Together, this evidence suggests that risk for transdiagnostic psychopathology after community-level stressors increases as the degree of cumulative exposure to the event increases and can have lasting influences on mental health (Goldman & Galea, 2014).

Cumulative Risk and Psychopathology during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Similar to patterns observed in the context of community-level disasters, growing evidence demonstrates that those exposed to a greater number of pandemic-related stressors are at particularly elevated risk for the onset of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic (Cohodes, McCauley, & Gee, 2021; Kuhlman, Straka, Mousavi, Tran, & Rodgers, 2021; Kujawa, Green, Compas, Dickey, & Pegg, 2020). Several longitudinal studies have demonstrated associations between the degree of exposure to pandemic-related stressors and increases in symptoms of psychopathology during the pandemic, controlling for pre-pandemic symptom levels, in children, adolescents, and adults in a variety of cultural contexts (Hawes, Szenczy, Klein, Hajcak, & Nelson, 2021; Pereira et al., 2021; Rosen et al., 2021; Weissman et al., 2021).

A wide range of pandemic-related stressors have been assessed across these studies. For example, in two studies from our lab that followed several cohorts of families from prior to the pandemic through the early lockdown periods in spring 2020 and again in fall 2020, pandemic-related stressors were assessed across five domains: health (e.g., contracting COVID-19; experiencing loss of a loved one to COVID-19), social (e.g., social isolation, worsening relationships between family members), financial (e.g., losing a source of income, food insecurity), school (e.g., noisy environment in which to do homework), and physical environment (e.g., crowding in the home). In both children and adolescents, the number of pandemic-related stressors was associated with increases in internalizing and externalizing symptoms relative to pre-pandemic levels (Rosen et al., 2021; Weissman et al., 2021).

Specific Stressor Types

The COVID-19 pandemic has introduced a wide range of stressors into daily life. Although cumulative risk is a common approach to studying the impact of stressful life events, it is possible that certain types of stressors have a more pronounced influence on risk for psychopathology than others. Stressor types that have been proposed to have unique influences on mental health in prior work include events involving threat/danger, loss, social rejection, entrapment, and humiliation (Brown et al., 1995; Kendler et al., 2003; Slavich & Irwin, 2014). Some previous work on disasters and terrorist attacks has examined the differential impact of specific stressors that occurred during those events. Dimensions of experience that have been examined in the context of community-wide disruptions include life threat, loss, disruptions to daily life, among others.

The degree of life threat experienced during disasters, terrorist attacks, and other traumatic events is strongly related to the emergence of anxiety, depression, and PTSD,

including in meta-analyses (Fullerton & Ursano, 2005; Galea et al., 2007; Hoven et al., 2005; LaGreca et al., 1996; Ozer, Best, Lipsey, & Weiss, 2003). Community-level stressors often involve meaningful loss, such as death of a loved one, loss of property or possessions, and unexpected unemployment. Across many events, these types of experiences were the most powerful predictors of the onset of psychopathology symptoms, in some cases more so than threat to one's personal safety (Eksi et al., 2007; Galea et al., 2002; Hoven et al., 2005; LaGreca et al., 1996; McLaughlin, Fairbank, et al., 2009; Shear et al., 2011). Indeed, unexpected death of a loved one is one of the most common and worst traumatic events individuals report experiencing, even when they have experienced other traumas (Keyes, Pratt, et al., 2014). Finally, community-level stressors can lead to lasting psychological impact via long-term disruptions in daily life and the experience of "loss spirals" (Hobfoll, 1989). Individuals who are more directly impacted by these events are also more likely to suffer secondary, and often chronic, stressors such as displacement, unemployment, loss of social support, and financial insecurity (Kessler et al., 2008; Lowe, Tracy, Cerdá, Norris, & Galea, 2013; Norris, Perilla, Riad, Kaniasty, & Lavizzo, 1999). In other words, acute stressors (e.g., death of a loved one, property or job loss) can lead to further losses (e.g., housing instability, financial strain, family disruption). Indeed, the degree of ongoing, chronic stressors following disasters is among the strongest predictors of the onset of mental health problems (McLaughlin, Fairbank, et al., 2010; Norris & Uhl, 1993). Similarly, Kessler and colleagues observed that disasters were only linked to increases in psychopathology if they were accompanied by secondary traumatic events (Kessler et al., 2012).

Specific Stressors Linked with Psychopathology during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Emerging evidence during the COVID-19 pandemic suggests that economic stressors (e.g., lost wages) and those causing ongoing disruptions in daily life (e.g., changes in caretaking responsibilities) are among the strongest predictors of increases in acute stress and depression, even when compared to stressors involving health threat, like personal exposure to disease (Holman et al., 2020). In fact, those who continued working jobs with in-person interactions, despite greater risk of contracting the virus, had lower depression symptoms than those who were not working (Holman et al., 2020). Similarly, several studies observed that pandemic-related lifestyle and economic disruptions were strongly related to symptoms of psychopathology, whereas health risks to self and loved ones had little impact (Li & Wang, 2020; Shanahan et al., 2020). Numerous studies suggest that these types of economic stressors were more commonly experienced during the pandemic by Black and Hispanic than White individuals. Specifically, these groups were more likely than Whites to experience job loss, food insecurity, and housing instability during the pandemic (Avalos et al., 2022; Hofmann, 2021; McKnight-Eily et al., 2021; Park, 2021; Stockman, Wood, & Anderson, 2021). This disproportionate exposure to the stressors most strongly linked to mental health problems during the pandemic are likely drivers of the greater increases in anxiety and depression for racial and ethnic minority communities relative to Whites (Thomeer et al., 2022). Black and Hispanic adults were also more likely to work in occupations that carried greater risk of contracting COVID-19 than White individuals (Goldman, Pebley, Lee, Andrasfay, & Pratt, 2021), which is one of many factors contributing to racial and ethnic disparities in infection and mortality. In contrast, experiences of discrimination related to the pandemic were experienced most commonly by Asian and Black adults (Liu, Finch, Brenneke, Thomas, & Le, 2020; Wu, Qian, & Wilkes, 2021). Greater perceived discrimination during the pandemic was associated with higher

symptoms of depression and anxiety and explained some of the disparities in these symptoms among Asian-Americans relative to Whites (C. Wu et al., 2021).

Parental job loss, work disruptions, and financial instability has been linked to increased distress not only for parents, but also for children (Wang et al., 2021). In a survey of parents about pandemic-related experiences, loss of employment/wages or inability to provide for their family was the most commonly reported worry (52%), whereas fear about contracting the virus or of others dying was only reported by 16% of participants, although more than half the sample reported knowing someone who had contracted the virus, and about one-quarter knew someone who had died from the virus (Brown, Doom, Lechuga-Peña, Watamura, & Koppels, 2020). Children reported similar patterns, endorsing school-related disruptions as the most common worry (endorsed by 72% of participants), whereas fewer reported concern about the possibility of becoming infected (7%) or a loved one becoming infected (30%) (Ellis, Dumas, & Forbes, 2020).

Stress Sensitization

The stress sensitization model posits that experiencing early-life adversity may increase vulnerability for developing psychopathology in response to stressors encountered later in life (Hammen, Henry, & Daley, 2000). The stress sensitization hypothesis posits that exposure to childhood adversity creates a lower stress threshold for the development of depression. Early studies found that childhood adversity moderated the association between adult stressors and onset of depression, such that those who experienced childhood adversity had higher rates of depression following low levels of recent stress compared to those who had not experienced adversity (Hammen et al., 2000; Harkness, Bruce, & Lumley, 2006). Subsequent studies reported stress sensitization patterns that emerged following exposure to higher levels of recent stress,

such that the association between recent stressors and depression was stronger among those with a history of childhood adversity (Bandoli et al., 2017; McLaughlin, Conron, Koenen, & Gilman, 2010; Rousson, Fleming, & Herrenkohl, 2020). Although the original stress sensitization hypothesis focused specifically on vulnerability to depression, numerous studies have shown that early-life adversity may increase risk for the emergence of transdiagnostic psychopathology following exposure to stressful life events at subsequent points in development (Hammen, 2015), including anxiety, depression, PTSD, and substance use problems (Espejo et al., 2006; Harkness et al., 2006; McLaughlin, Conron, et al., 2010; McLaughlin et al., 2017; Myers, McLaughlin, Wang, Blanco, & Stein, 2014). Taken together, substantial evidence indicates that experiencing early-life adversity can increase vulnerability to developing psychopathology following stressful life events later in life.

Stress sensitization has also been observed in the context of disasters and community-level stressors, such that those who have experienced prior adversity are at greater risk of developing psychopathology following these types of community-level disruptions (Galea et al., 2002; Keyes, Shmulewitz, et al., 2014; Meyers et al., 2015). For example, in a large national sample, the association between exposure to content related to the September 11th terrorist attacks through media or friends and family and internalizing and externalizing symptoms was stronger among individuals who had experienced childhood maltreatment (Meyers et al., 2015).

Stress Sensitization during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Emerging evidence suggests that individuals who have experienced childhood adversity may also be at greater risk of developing mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several studies have observed that individuals with a history of child maltreatment or other adversity experiences were more likely to develop anxiety, depression, and PTSD

symptoms during the pandemic relative to those without exposure to adversity; this has been observed among adolescents in rural China (Guo et al., 2020), adults in Israel and Germany (Seitz, Bertsch, & Herpertz, 2021; Siegel & Lahav, 2021), as well as children, adolescents, and adults in the U.S. (Gotlib et al., 2021; Kalia, Knauff, & Hayatbini, 2020). These associations persisted after adjustment for exposure to pandemic-related stressors (Guo et al., 2020; Siegel & Lahav, 2021) and pre-pandemic symptoms (Gotlib et al., 2021). Few studies have examined whether the association between pandemic-related stressors and psychopathology symptoms is stronger among those with adversity experiences, however. In one study of adolescents, the associations of experiencing COVID-19 or having a loved one with COVID-19 with symptoms of anxiety and PTSD were stronger among those who had experienced childhood adversity (Guo et al., 2020). Although research examining whether childhood adversity amplifies vulnerability to developing psychopathology following pandemic-related stressors is limited, several studies have identified potential mechanisms linking childhood adversity with increased risk for distress and psychopathology during the pandemic. These include higher levels of loneliness and social isolation (Shreffler et al., 2021), reduced social support (Seitz et al., 2021), difficulties with emotion regulation (Janiri et al., 2021), a reduced ability to flexibly adapt to new challenges (Kalia et al., 2020), and higher levels of perceived stress (Gotlib et al., 2021; Kalia et al., 2020).

Taken together, although the stress-sensitization hypothesis provides insight into why individuals who have experienced childhood adversity may be at heightened risk for developing mental health problems during the pandemic, few studies have tested directly whether the association between pandemic-related stressors and psychopathology is magnified following experiences of childhood adversity. While some evidence suggests that youth exposed to adversity are indeed at heightened risk for the development of mental health problems during the

pandemic, longitudinal studies that examine childhood adversity, exposure to pandemic-related stressors, and trajectories of mental health over time are needed to evaluate the role of stress sensitization in response to stressors related to the COVID-19 pandemic (Prime, Wade, & Browne, 2020).

Stress Appraisal and Reappraisal

Transactional models of stress focus not only on the role of environmental stressors, but the way these events are interpreted and appraised in shaping stress responses (Monroe, 2008). Influential models of stress appraisal argue that threat perception occurs with when the demands of a situation outweigh one's perceived ability to cope with those demands effectively (Blascovich & Mendes, 2000; Lazarus, 1999). These appraisals are commonly assessed by evaluating the degree to which one's life circumstances are perceived as stressful in a global way (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2012; Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983). Stress appraisals shape physiological and neural responses to stressors (Rodman, Jenness, Weissman, Pine, & McLaughlin, 2019; Tomaka, Blascovich, & Kelsey, 1993) and have powerful associations with mental health outcomes following stressful experiences (Cohen et al., 1983; Cristóbal-Narváez, Haro, & Koyanagi, 2020).

Even when a situation is perceived as stressful, ongoing appraisal processes—frequently referred to as cognitive reappraisal—can alter the interpretation of a situation over time. Cognitive reappraisal is conceptualized as an adaptive emotion regulation strategy (Jamieson, Mendes, & Nock, 2013; Ochsner & Gross, 2005). Indeed, engaging in cognitive reappraisal modulates subjective, cardiovascular, and neural responses to stressors and negative affective stimuli in adaptive ways, and improves performance in stressful situations (Gross, 1998; Jamieson, Mendes, Blackstock, & Schmader, 2010; Ochsner, Hughes, Robertson, Cooper, &

Gabrieli, 2008). Cognitive reappraisal also has positive influences on stress-related psychopathology, such that individuals who engage in high levels of reappraisal generally exhibit lower levels of psychopathology and are less likely to develop symptoms of depression and anxiety after experiencing stressful life events (Gross & John, 2003; John & Gross, 2004; McRae, Jacobs, Ray, John, & Gross, 2012; Moore, Zoellner, & Mollenholt, 2008; Rodman et al., 2019; Troy, Wilhelm, Shallcross, & Mauss, 2010). Greater global perceptions have been consistently associated with higher symptoms of depression and anxiety in the aftermath of natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other community-level stressors (Besser, Neria, & Haynes, 2009; Leon, Hyre, Ompad, DeSalvo, & Muntner, 2007; Schwartz et al., 2016; Shahar, Cohen, Grogan, Barile, & Henrich, 2009).

Stress Appraisals during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Global perceptions of stress during the COVID-19 pandemic are higher in those who experience a greater number of pandemic-related stressors (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2012), and have been linked with the emergence of psychopathology. Higher levels of perceived stress have been linked to increased symptoms of depression and anxiety in children, adolescents, and adults during the pandemic (Brown et al., 2020; Duan et al., 2020; Gotlib et al., 2021; Kalia et al., 2020; Zandifar et al., 2020), as well as greater externalizing problems in children (Achterberg, Dobbelaar, Boer, & Crone, 2021).

Emerging evidence also suggests that the link between pandemic-related stress and psychopathology is weaker in adolescents and adults who engage in high levels of cognitive reappraisal. Specifically, use of cognitive reappraisal moderated the association between perceived stress and symptoms of anxiety among adults during the early phase of the pandemic (Xu et al., 2020) and between exposure to pandemic-related stressors and symptoms of

depression and anxiety in adolescents (Kuhlman et al., 2021). One study of healthcare workers additionally reported a positive influence of cognitive reappraisal on levels of emotional exhaustion (Wang et al., 2021). Together, these findings provide preliminary support for the mental health benefits of reappraisal during the pandemic, particularly for those experiencing high levels of pandemic-related stress.

Stress Buffering

Exposure to stressful life events is a powerful predictor of the onset of psychopathology; however, most people who experience adversity do not develop mental health problems. This is true even after traumatic events, loss of loved ones, and exposure to natural disasters and terrorist attacks (Bonanno, Westphal, & Mancini, 2011). Protective factors that buffer against the emergence of psychopathology following experiences of adversity have been studied extensively and operate at numerous levels of influence (Bonanno et al., 2011; Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000; Masten & Narayan, 2012). These include individual-level characteristics that make one less susceptible to mental health problems following stressful life events—such as personality traits, cognitive abilities, or even aspects of neurobiological function; interpersonal factors, such as the availability of social support; and characteristics of the broader social context, including school, community, and cultural systems that contribute to resilience. Extensive empirical work has examined factors that contribute to resilience following adversity, particularly in children, and many prior reviews of this topic exist (Bonanno et al., 2011; Luthar et al., 2000; Masten, 2007; Masten & Narayan, 2012). Here, we highlight one illustrative example.

Social support is one powerful buffer against the emergence of psychopathology following community-level stressors like natural disasters and terrorist attacks (Arnberg, Hultman, Michel, & Lundin, 2012; Ghuman, Brackbill, Stellman, Farfel, & Cone, 2014; Henrich

& Shahar, 2008; LaGreca, Silverman, Lai, & Jaccard, 2010; McGuire et al., 2018; Shahar et al., 2009; Shahar & Henrich, 2016). Social support can be defined in numerous ways, but the quality of emotional support following stressors is particularly likely to buffer against the emergence of mental health problems (Cohen, 2004; Shang et al., 2019). The availability of social support is often eroded following natural disasters, which can disrupt entire communities and fracture social networks (Kaniasty & Norris, 1995; LaGreca et al., 2010; Lowe, Chan, & Rhodes, 2010). This loss of access to support networks can exacerbate the impact of stressors experienced during these events. Importantly, although higher levels of support is almost universally associated with lower levels of psychopathology following natural disasters and terrorist attacks, some studies find that support serves as a mediator of the link between disaster-related stressors and psychopathology rather than as a buffer that reduces the association of stressors with psychopathology symptoms (Kaniasty & Norris, 1993; Norris & Kaniasty, 1996; Platt, Lowe, Galea, Norris, & Koenen, 2016; Schiff, Pat-Horenczyk, & Peled, 2010).

Stress Buffering during the COVID-19 Pandemic

The social distancing that has been encouraged to reduce the spread of COVID-19 has made it more difficult to maintain social relationships and access social support for many people. This parallels the deterioration of social support frequently observed following natural disasters (Kaniasty & Norris, 1995). Despite these challenges, higher levels of social support have been consistently associated with better mental health outcomes during the pandemic. Lower levels of depression and anxiety symptoms have been observed in adults who report higher levels of social support (Grey et al., 2020; Özmete & Pak, 2020) and in children and adolescents who reported higher levels of social connectedness and spent more time talking to others during the early phase of the pandemic (Magson et al., 2021; Oliva et al., 2021). A stress buffering role of social

support has also been reported in several studies during the pandemic. In adults, the association of exposure to pandemic-related stressors with perceived stress and of pandemic-related worry with psychological distress were lower in adults who perceived higher levels of social support (Szkody, Stearns, Stanhope, & McKinney, 2021; Zhen, Nan, & Pham, 2021). The link between experiences of discrimination and depression symptoms was lower in Asian American adults who reported higher levels of social support (Lee & Waters, 2021), suggesting that social support may help to buffer against disparities in stress-related psychopathology related to stigma and discrimination during the pandemic. Additionally, a study of college students found a positive association between pandemic-related stressors and rumination but not among students who reported higher levels of social support (Ye et al., 2020). Children who maintained some degree of in-person socialization during the stay-at-home orders in the U.S. and both children and adolescents who retained a sense of social connection to peers were protected against the emergence of internalizing symptoms following exposure to pandemic-related stressors in a longitudinal study (Rodman et al., 2021). Parental support, in particular, has emerged as an important protective factor for both youths and young adults. The association of pandemic-related stressors with internalizing and externalizing problems was lower in young adults who experienced higher levels of parental support and had lower levels of parent-child conflict prior to the pandemic (Skinner et al., 2021) and in children and adolescents who spent more time with their parents (Oliva et al., 2021), who experienced lower conflict with parents (Qu et al., 2021), and whose parents used more emotion coaching strategies (Cohodes et al., 2021). Together these studies suggest that social support and connection are important protective factors that have the potential to facilitate resilience during the pandemic.

Numerous other factors appear to protect against the onset of stress-related psychopathology during the pandemic, including higher levels of physical activity, lower screen time and consumption of news media related to the pandemic, and maintaining a structured daily routine. Higher engagement in physical activity is associated directly with better mental health and lower likelihood of developing stress-related mental health problems (Ren, He, Bian, Shang, & Liu, 2021; Silva Moreira et al., 2021; Zhang, Zhang, Ma, & Di, 2020). Screen time use has increased dramatically among youth during the pandemic and has been linked to increases in mental health problems (Nagata et al., 2021; Oliva et al., 2021). Conversely, the association between pandemic-related stressors and internalizing problems was reduced in children and adolescents who had low levels of passive screen time use and reduced consumption of news media about the pandemic (Rosen et al., 2021). Many experienced a loss of structure and routine during the pandemic with school closures, loss of access to activities, and a move to remote work. Children and adolescents whose families were able to maintain a structured routine during the pandemic exhibited lower levels of externalizing problems, and a reduced association between pandemic-related stressors and symptoms of psychopathology (Cohodes et al., 2021; Glynn, Davis, Luby, Baram, & Sandman, 2021; Rosen et al., 2021).

Stress Mechanisms

Exposure to stressors can increase risk for psychopathology through a variety of intervening mechanisms. Pathways that have garnered empirical support including changes in emotion regulation strategies, sleep, reward processing, and social engagement. Each of these factors is influenced by experiences of stress, is associated prospectively with the emergence of symptoms of psychopathology, and explains at least some of the relationship between stress and psychopathology. Exposure to stressors has been linked to changes in emotion regulation in numerous prospective studies in both children and adults. Stressful experiences are associated with increases in the use of

maladaptive emotion regulation strategies like rumination and suppression, which explain the prospective association between stressors and symptoms of depression and anxiety (Hatzenbuehler, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Dovidio, 2009; McLaughlin & Hatzenbuehler, 2009; McLaughlin, Hatzenbuehler, & Hilt, 2009; Michl, McLaughlin, Shepherd, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2013). Reductions in sleep duration and quality following exposure to stressors has been observed frequently and are, in turn, associated with increases in symptoms of depression, anxiety, and externalizing problems over time in children and adults (Baglioni et al., 2016; Bates, Viken, Alexander, Beyers, & Stockton, 2002; Harvey, 2008; Roberts & Duong, 2017; Shanahan, Copeland, Angold, Bondy, & Costello, 2014; Veeramachaneni, Slavish, Dietch, Kelly, & Taylor, 2019; Vidal Bustamante et al., 2020). Finally, experiences of stress are consistently associated with changes in reward processing reflecting more blunted patterns of reward responsivity and approach motivation that contribute to the emergence of anhedonia and depression symptoms (Bogdan & Pizzagalli, 2006; Bress, Foti, Kotov, Klein, & Hajack, 2013; Nelson, Perlman, Klein, Kotov, & Hajack, 2016; Pizzagalli, 2014; Pizzagalli, Bogdan, Ratner, & Jahn, 2007; Vidal-Ribas et al., 2019). Some of these associations have been observed following community-wide disasters, although reward processing has rarely been studied in the context of these types of stressors.

Stress Mechanisms During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Emerging evidence points to the relevance of these mechanisms linking stress and psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic. Heightened levels of rumination and suppression have been observed in those exposed to higher levels of pandemic-related stressors, and are associated with higher levels of depression and anxiety symptoms, including in prospective studies (Low, Overall, Chang, Henderson, & Sibley, 2021; Weissman et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2020; Zhou, MacGeorge, & Myrick, 2020). The pandemic has resulted in meaningful changes in sleep quantity and quality, and disruptions in sleep have been linked to higher levels of pandemic-related stress as well as symptoms of depression and anxiety (Benham, 2021; Gupta, Sharma, Kumar, & Mahajan,

2020; Merikanto et al., 2021; Robillard et al., 2021; Stanton et al., 2020; Ulrich et al., 2021; Werner, Kater, Schlarb, & Lohaus, 2021). Although data on reward processing during the pandemic is limited, a prospective study found that blunted pre-pandemic reward processing was associated with greater suicidal ideation during the pandemic (Hutchinson et al., 2021).

Clinical Implications

Emerging evidence on the link between pandemic-related stressors and mental health can be leveraged in at least two ways to inform interventions to prevent the onset stress-related psychopathology as the pandemic continues (see Figure 1). First, existing work rooted in environmental conceptualizations of stress has highlighted characteristics of stressors that may be important for identifying who is most in need of interventions. Second, work on stress appraisal, buffers, and mechanisms points to specific psychosocial processes that could be targeted by interventions designed to prevent stress-related psychopathology. Before discussing these topics further, a key issue is how to reach those most in need. Access to mental health services has become challenging during the pandemic, as need for services has increased substantially. Racial and ethnic disparities in access to mental health services have become more pronounced (Thomeer et al., 2022), and other groups who are particularly vulnerable to stress-related psychopathology as the result of cumulative exposure (e.g., healthcare workers) may be the least likely to have the time and/or resources to seek out mental health care. Single-session and digital interventions hold much promise that regard (Gruber et al., 2021; Schleider & Weisz, 2017). Below, we focus specifically on interventions that can be delivered in these types of brief formats that may be more widely accessible than specialty mental health services.

Unsurprisingly, individuals who have experienced a high degree of cumulative exposure to stressors, particularly those that are chronic and ongoing during the pandemic, are likely to be the most vulnerable to developing stress-related psychopathology. Healthcare workers, in particular, have experienced some of the highest levels of ongoing stressors throughout the pandemic, and

recent meta-analyses document substantial rates of anxiety and depression in this group (Al Maqbali, Al Sinani, & Al-Lenjawi, 2021; Marvaldi, Mallet, Dubertret, Moro, & Guessoum, 2021). More specifically, chronic economic stressors and experiences creating ongoing disruptions in daily life (e.g., unstable access to childcare) appear to be particularly likely to trigger the onset of depression and anxiety symptoms. These findings point to the importance of identifying strategies for reaching those who have experienced persistent work-related disruptions during the pandemic (e.g., restaurant and hospitality workers), as well as groups who have experienced ongoing instability in daily life, such as parents of young children and college students. Finally, individuals who have experienced early-life adversity appear to be more likely to develop symptoms of psychopathology during the pandemic, although limited work has examined whether this reflects greater vulnerability following pandemic-related stressors. Recent efforts to integrate screening for early-life adversity in primary care settings (Pardee, Kuzma, Dahlem, Boucher, & Darling-Fisher, 2017) provides one avenue for identifying those who may be particularly likely to benefit from interventions to prevent stress-related psychopathology as a result of experiences of adversity early in life.

With regard to the content of interventions, there is likely to be meaningful heterogeneity across contexts and populations in the types of interventions that are most effective in treating stress-related psychopathology (Bryan, Tipton, & Yeager, 2021). Interventions targeting stress appraisals and/or reappraisal are one promising avenue. Cognitive restructuring has long been a mainstay of cognitive-behavioral interventions. However, several recent approaches have demonstrated the utility of very brief interventions focused on shifting stress appraisals to focus on the adaptive benefits of stress (Jamieson, Crum, Goyer, Marotta, & Akinola, 2018). These interventions can be delivered through brief videos and have demonstrated positive impacts on anxiety and depression symptoms as well as physiological responses to stress and performance-related outcomes (Jamieson et al., 2018; Liu, Ein, Gervasio, & Vickers, 2019). Online cognitive reappraisal interventions have also been adapted to target specific types of stressors, such as relationship conflict, and have demonstrated

positive influences on these sources of stress during the pandemic (Rodriguez, Stewart, & Neighbors, 2021).

Substantial evidence on the stress buffering role of social support, including during the pandemic, highlights the potential for interventions aimed at increasing social support to prevent the onset of psychopathology during the pandemic. However, interventions designed to enhance support have often been administered in group settings, which may not be feasible during the pandemic, and the effects on mental health have been mixed (Hogan, Linden, & Najarian, 2002). Although online support interventions have the potential for wider reach, evidence for positive mental health effects remain limited. The impact of such interventions during the pandemic, when social isolation has increased dramatically, is largely unknown. Interventions targeting other factors that have emerged as stress buffers during the pandemic—including physical activity, reduced passive screen time, and maintaining a structured daily routine may also be useful strategies for reducing stress-related mental health problems. For example, adults who participated in digital platforms for physical activity (e.g., streaming services, subscriber fitness programs, etc.) during the pandemic were more likely to maintain recommended levels of physical activity (Parker et al., 2021). Similarly, a wide range of interventions have demonstrated positive effects on reducing screen time and sedentary behaviors in both children and adults (Nguyen et al., 2020; Wu, Sun, He, & Jiang, 2016). Increasing access to these types of services and interventions may promote more adaptive stress management through multiple pathways, including increased physical activity and reduced screen time.

Brief self-guided digital interventions hold particular promise for reducing stress-related psychopathology during the pandemic. Accumulating evidence suggests that even single-session interventions administered digitally can have lasting influences on symptoms of depression and anxiety in both adolescents and adults (Schleider & Weisz, 2017). Some of these interventions have targeted processes that serve as key mechanisms linking stress with the onset of psychopathology. For example, behavioral activation targets motivational and reward-related processes that contribute

to depression. Recent evidence indicates that a single-session behavioral activation interventions was effective in reducing depression symptoms in adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic (Schleider et al., 2021), demonstrating the utility of this approach specifically in the context of the pandemic. An increasing number of brief self-guided digital interventions target emotion regulation processes that serve as mechanisms linking stress and psychopathology. For example, a small open trial of an self-guided digital intervention comprised of three lessons aimed at reducing rumination and worry was associated with reductions in repetitive negative thinking of large effect size that persisted for one month (Joubert et al., 2021). Another digital intervention aimed at increasing positive affect across five self-guided sessions led to increased positive affect and reduced negative affect, perceived stress, and depression symptoms in a small randomized controlled trial (Addington et al., 2019). Interventions that encourage the flexible regulation of emotions based on one's goals and context are likely to be particularly helpful. Finally, numerous digital interventions have been developed to improve sleep quality, some of which have shown good feasibility and acceptability during the pandemic (Philip et al., 2020). A recent study further demonstrated that individuals who participated in a digital sleep intervention prior to the pandemic were less likely to develop insomnia and depression symptoms during the pandemic (Cheng, Casement, Kalmbach, Castelan, & Drake, 2021). Increasing access to these types of digital interventions among groups who continue to experience chronic stressors and disruptions in daily life as the pandemic progresses—including healthcare workers, parents of young children, and college students—may be one avenue for reducing stress-related psychopathology.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has produced dramatic societal changes that have touched virtually every domain of life. Increases in stressful experiences are a major contributor to the elevations in symptoms of depression and anxiety that have occurred during the pandemic in children, adolescents,

and adults, and disproportionate exposure to pandemic-related stressors has been a key factor contributing to widening racial and ethnic disparities in mental health. Existing models of stress-related psychopathology can be leveraged not only to determine which individuals may be most in need of intervention, but also what the targets of those interventions should be. Brief, digital interventions that target mechanisms known to link stress with the emergence of psychopathology are one promising strategy for providing increased access to interventions that have the potential to reduce stress-related psychopathology and mental health disparities as the pandemic continues.

References

- Achterberg, M., Dobbelaar, S., Boer, O. D., & Crone, E. A. (2021). Perceived stress as mediator for longitudinal effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on wellbeing of parents and children. *Scientific Reports, 11*, 1-14.
- Addington, E. L., Cheung, E. O., Bassett, S. M., Kwok, I., Schuette, S. A., Shiu, E., . . . Saslow, L. R. (2019). The MARIGOLD study: Feasibility and enhancement of an online intervention to improve emotion regulation in people with elevated depressive symptoms. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 257*, 352-364.
- Al Maqbali, M., Al Sinani, M., & Al-Lenjawi, B. (2021). Prevalence of stress, depression, anxiety and sleep disturbance among nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 141*, 110343.
- Arnberg, F. K., Hultman, C. M., Michel, P. O., & Lundin, T. (2012). Social support moderates posttraumatic stress and general distress after disaster. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 25*, 721-727.
- Avalos, L. A., Nance, N., Zhu, Y., Croen, L. A., Young-Wolff, K. C., Zerbo, O., . . . Badon, S. E. (2022). Contributions of COVID-19 Pandemic-Related Stressors to Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Mental Health During Pregnancy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13*.
- Baglioni, C., Nanovska, S., Regen, W., Spiegelhalder, K., Feige, B., Nissen, C., . . . Baglioni, C. (2016). Sleep and mental disorders: A meta-analysis of polysomnographic research. *Psychological Bulletin, 142*, 96-105.
- Bandoli, G., Campbell-Sills, L., Kessler, R. C., Heeringa, S. G., Nock, M. K., Rosellini, A. J., . . . Stein, M. B. (2017). Childhood adversity, adult stress, and the risk of major depression or generalized anxiety disorder in US soldiers: a test of the stress sensitization hypothesis. *Psychological Medicine, 47*, 2379-2392.
- Bates, J. E., Viken, R. J., Alexander, D. B., Beyers, J., & Stockton, L. (2002). Sleep and adjustment in preschool children: Sleep diary reports by mothers relate to behavior reports by teachers. *Child Development, 73*, 62-75.
- Benham, G. (2021). Stress and sleep in college students prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Stress and Health, 37*, 504-515.
- Besser, A., Neria, Y., & Haynes, M. (2009). Adult attachment, perceived stress, and PTSD among civilians exposed to ongoing terrorist attacks in Southern Israel. *Personality and Individual Differences, 47*, 851-857.
- Blascovich, J., & Mendes, W. B. (2000). Challenge and threat appraisals: The role of affective cues. In J. Forgas (Ed.), *Feeling and thinking: The role of affect in social cognition* (pp. 59-82). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bogdan, R., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2006). Acute stress reduces reward responsiveness: implications for depression. *Biological Psychiatry, 60*, 1147-1154.
- Bonanno, G. A., Westphal, M., & Mancini, A. D. (2011). Resilience to loss and potential trauma. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 7*, 511-535.
- Bress, J. N., Foti, D., Kotov, R., Klein, D. N., & Hajack, G. (2013). Blunted neural response to rewards prospectively predicts depression in adolescent girls. *Psychophysiology, 50*, 74-81.
- Brown, G. W., Harris, T. O., & Hepworth, C. (1995). Loss, humiliation and entrapment among women developing depression: A patient and non-patient comparison. *Psychological Medicine, 25*, 7-22.

- Brown, S. M., Doom, J. R., Lechuga-Peña, S., Watamura, S. E., & Koppels, T. (2020). Stress and parenting during the global COVID-19 pandemic. *Child Abuse and Neglect, 110*, 104699.
- Bryan, C. J., Tipton, E., & Yeager, D. S. (2021). Behavioural science is unlikely to change the world without a heterogeneity revolution. *Nature Human Behaviour, 5*(8), 980-989.
- Catani, C., Gewirtz, A. H., Wieling, E., Schauer, E., Elbert, T., & Neuner, F. (2010). Tsunami, war, and cumulative risk in the lives of Sri Lankan schoolchildren. *Child Development, 81*, 1176-1191.
- Cheng, P., Casement, M. D., Kalmbach, D. A., Castelan, A. C., & Drake, C. L. (2021). Digital cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia promotes later health resilience during the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Sleep, 44*(4), zsa258.
- Cohen, S. (2004). Social relationships and health. *American Psychologist, 59*, 676-684.
- Cohen, S., & Janicki-Deverts, D. (2012). Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 2009. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 42*, 1320-1334.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24*, 385-396.
- Cohen Silver, R., Holman, E. A., McIntosh, D. N., Poulin, M., & Gil-Rivas, V. (2002). Nationwide longitudinal study of psychological responses to September 11. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, 288*, 1235-1244.
- Cohodes, E. M., McCauley, S., & Gee, D. G. (2021). Parental buffering of stress in the time of COVID-19: family-level factors may moderate the association between pandemic-related stress and youth symptomatology. *Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology, 49*, 935-948.
- Cristóbal-Narváez, P., Haro, J. M., & Koyanagi, A. (2020). Perceived stress and depression in 45 low-and middle-income countries. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 274*, 799-805.
- Dickerson, S. S., & Kemeny, M. E. (2004). Acute stressors and cortisol responses: a theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological Bulletin, 130*(3), 355-391. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.130.3.355
- Dong, M., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Williamson, D. F., Thompson, T. J., . . . Giles, W. H. (2004). The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse and Neglect, 28*, 771-784.
- Duan, H., Yan, L., Ding, X., Gan, Y., Kohn, N., & Wu, J. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general Chinese population: Changes, predictors and psychosocial correlates. *Psychiatry Research, 293*, 113396.
- Eksi, A., Braun, K. L., Ertem-Vehid, H., Peykerli, G., Saydam, R., Toparlak, D., & Alyanak, B. (2007). Risk factors for the development of PTSD and depression among child and adolescent victims following a 7.4 magnitude earthquake. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice, 11*, 190-199.
- Ellis, W. E., Dumas, T. M., & Forbes, L. M. (2020). Physically isolated but socially connected: Psychological adjustment and stress among adolescents during the initial COVID-19 crisis. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 52*, 177-187.
- Espejo, E. P., Hammen, C., Connolly, N. P., Brennan, P. A., Najman, J. M., & Bor, W. (2006). Stress sensitization and adolescent depressive severity as a function of childhood adversity: A link to anxiety disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 35*, 287-299.

- Finkelhor, D., Ormrod, R., Turner, H., & Hamby, S. L. (2005). The victimization of children and youth: A comprehensive, national survey. *Child Maltreatment, 10*, 5-25.
- Fullerton, C. S., & Ursano, R. J. (2005). Psychological and psychopathological consequences of disasters. In J. J. López-Ibor, G. Christodoulou, M. Maj, N. Sartorius, & A. Okasha (Eds.), *Disasters and mental health* (pp. 13-36): John Wiley & Sons.
- Galea, S., Ahern, J., Resnick, H., Kilpatrick, D. G., Bucuvalas, M., Gold, J., & Vlahov, D. (2002). Psychological sequelae of the September 11 terrorist attacks in New York city. *New England Journal of Medicine, 346*, 982-987.
- Galea, S., Ahern, J., Tracy, M., Hubbard, A., Cerda, M., Goldmann, E., & Vlahov, D. (2008). Longitudinal determinants of posttraumatic stress in a population-based cohort study. *Epidemiology, 19*, 47-54.
- Galea, S., Brewin, C. R., Gruber, M., Jones, R. T., King, D. W., King, L. A., . . . Kessler, R. C. (2007). Exposure to hurricane-related stressors and mental illness after Hurricane Katrina. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 64*, 1427-1434.
- Galea, S., Vlahov, D., Resnick, H., Ahern, J., Susser, E. S., Gold, J., . . . Kilpatrick, D. G. (2003). Trends of probable post-traumatic stress disorder in New York City after the September 11 terrorist attacks. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 158*, 514-524.
- Ghuman, S. J., Brackbill, R. M., Stellman, S. D., Farfel, M. R., & Cone, J. E. (2014). Unmet mental health care need 10–11 years after the 9/11 terrorist attacks: 2011–2012 results from the World Trade Center Health Registry. *BMC Public Health, 14*, 1-9.
- Glynn, L. M., Davis, E. P., Luby, J. L., Baram, T. Z., & Sandman, C. A. (2021). A predictable home environment may protect child mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Neurobiology of Stress, 14*, 100291.
- Goldman, N., Pebley, A. R., Lee, K., Andrasfay, T., & Pratt, B. (2021). Racial and ethnic differentials in COVID-19-related job exposures by occupational standing in the US. *PLoS One, 16*(9), e0256085.
- Goldmann, E., & Galea, S. (2014). Mental health consequences of disasters. *Annual Review of Public Health, 35*, 169-183.
- Gotlib, I. H., Borchers, L. R., Chahal, R., Gifuni, A. J., Teresi, G. I., & Ho, T. C. (2021). Early life stress predicts depressive symptoms in adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic: The mediating role of perceived stress. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 3864.
- Gover, A. R., Harper, S. B., & Langton, L. (2020). Anti-Asian hate crime during the COVID-19 pandemic: Exploring the reproduction of inequality. *American journal of criminal justice, 45*(4), 647-667.
- Green, J. G., McLaughlin, K. A., Berglund, P., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Kessler, R. C. (2010). Childhood adversities and adult psychopathology in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) I: Associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 62*, 113-123.
- Grey, I., Arora, T., Thomas, J., Saneh, A., Tohme, P., & Abi-Habib, R. (2020). The role of perceived social support on depression and sleep during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research, 293*, 113452.
- Gross, J. J. (1998). Antecedent- and response-focused emotion regulation: Divergent consequences for expression, experience, and physiology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74*, 224-237.

- Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85*, 348-362.
- Gruber, J., Prinstein, M. J., Clark, L. A., Rottenberg, J., Abramowitz, J. S., Albano, A. M., . . . Weinstock, L. M. (2021). Mental health and clinical psychological science in the time of COVID-19: Challenges, opportunities, and a call to action. *American Psychologist*, *76*, 409-426.
- Guo, J., Fu, M., Liu, D., Zhang, B., Wang, X., & van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2020). Is the psychological impact of exposure to COVID-19 stronger in adolescents with pre-pandemic maltreatment experiences? A survey of rural Chinese adolescents. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, *110*, 104667.
- Gupta, B., Sharma, V., Kumar, N., & Mahajan, A. (2020). Anxiety and sleep disturbances among health care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in India: cross-sectional online survey. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *6*, e24206.
- Hammen, C. (2015). Commentary: Stress sensitivity in psychopathology: Mechanisms and consequences. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *124*, 152-154.
- Hammen, C., Henry, R., & Daley, S. E. (2000). Depression and sensitization to stressors among young women as a function of childhood adversity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *68*, 782-787.
- Harkness, K. L., Bruce, A. E., & Lumley, M. N. (2006). The role of childhood abuse and neglect in the sensitization to stressful life events in adolescent depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *115*, 730-741.
- Harvey, A. G. (2008). Insomnia, psychiatric disorders, and the transdiagnostic perspective. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *17*, 299-303.
- Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Dovidio, J. F. (2009). How does stigma "get under the skin?" The mediating role of emotion regulation. *Psychological Science*, *20*, 1282-1289.
- Hawes, M. T., Szenczy, A. K., Klein, D. N., Hajcak, G., & Nelson, B. D. (2021). Increases in depression and anxiety symptoms in adolescents and young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychological Medicine*, epub ahead of print.
- Henrich, C. C., & Shahar, G. (2008). Social support buffers the effects of terrorism on adolescent depression: Findings from Sderot, Israel. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *47*, 1073-1076.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, *44*, 513-524.
- Hofmann, S. A. (2021). Racial disparities in COVID-19 anxiety and adversity. *Traumatology*.
- Hogan, B. E., Linden, W., & Najarian, B. (2002). Social support interventions: do they work? *Clinical Psychology Review*, *22*(3), 381-440.
- Holman, E. A., Thompson, R. R., Garfin, D. R., & Silver, R. C. (2020). The unfolding COVID-19 pandemic: A probability-based, nationally representative study of mental health in the United States. *Science Advances*, *6*, eabd5390.
- Hoven, C. W., Duarte, C. S., Lucas, C. P., Wu, P., Mandell, D. J., Goodwin, R. D., . . . Susser, E. (2005). Psychopathology among New York City public school children 6 months after September 11. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *62*, 545-551.
- Hutchinson, E. A., Sequeira, S. L., Silk, J. S., Jones, N. P., Oppenheimer, C., Scott, L., & Ladouceur, C. D. (2021). Peer Connectedness and Pre-Existing Social Reward Processing

- Predicts US Adolescent Girls' Suicidal Ideation During COVID-19. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, *31*, 703-716.
- Jamieson, J. P., Crum, A. J., Goyer, J. P., Marotta, M. E., & Akinola, M. (2018). Optimizing stress responses with reappraisal and mindset interventions: an integrated model. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, *31*(3), 245-261.
- Jamieson, J. P., Mendes, W. B., Blackstock, E., & Schmader, T. (2010). Turning the knots in your stomach into bows: Reappraising arousal improves performance on the GRE. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *46*, 208-212.
- Jamieson, J. P., Mendes, W. B., & Nock, M. K. (2013). Improving acute stress responses: The power of reappraisal. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *22*, 51-56.
- Janiri, D., Moccia, L., Dattoli, L., Pepe, M., Molinaro, M., De Martin, V., . . . Sani, G. (2021). Emotional dysregulation mediates the impact of childhood trauma on psychological distress: First Italian data during the early phase of COVID-19 outbreak. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, *epub ahead of print*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867421998802>
- John, O. P., & Gross, J. J. (2004). Healthy and unhealthy emotion regulation: Personality processes, individual differences, and life span development. *Journal of Personality*, *72*, 1301-1334.
- Joubert, A. E., Grierson, A. B., Chen, A. Z., Moulds, M. L., Werner-Seidler, A., Mahoney, A. E., & Newby, J. M. (2021). Managing rumination and worry: A pilot study of an internet intervention targeting repetitive negative thinking in Australian adults. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *294*, 483-490.
- Kalia, V., Knauft, K., & Hayatbini, N. (2020). Cognitive flexibility and perceived threat from COVID-19 mediate the relationship between childhood maltreatment and state anxiety. *PLoS One*, *15*, e0243881.
- Kaniasty, K., & Norris, F. H. (1993). A test of the social support deterioration model in the context of natural disaster. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *64*, 395-408.
- Kaniasty, K., & Norris, F. H. (1995). Mobilization and deterioration of social support following natural disasters. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *4*, 94-98.
- Kendler, K. S., Hettema, J. M., Butera, F., Gardner, C. O., & Prescott, C. A. (2003). Life event dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *60*, 789-796.
- Kessler, R. C., Galea, S., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Ursano, R. J., & Wessely, S. (2008). Trends in mental illness and suicidality after Hurricane Katrina. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *13*, 374-384.
- Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., Petukhova, M., Hill, E. D., & The WHO World Mental Health Survey Consortium. (2012). The importance of secondary trauma exposure for post-disaster mental disorder. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *21*, 35-45.
- Keyes, K. M., Pratt, C., Galea, S., McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., & Shear, M. K. (2014). The burden of loss: Unexpected death of a loved one and psychiatric disorders across the life course in a national study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *171*, 864-871.
- Keyes, K. M., Shmulewitz, D., Greenstein, E., McLaughlin, K. A., Wall, M., Aharonovich, E., . . . Hasin, D. S. (2014). Exposure to the Lebanon War of 2006 and effects on alcohol use disorders: The moderating role of childhood maltreatment. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *134*, 296-303.

- Kuhlman, K. R., Straka, K., Mousavi, Z., Tran, M. L., & Rodgers, E. (2021). Predictors of adolescent resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic: Cognitive reappraisal and humor. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 69*, 729-736.
- Kujawa, A., Green, H., Compas, B. E., Dickey, L., & Pegg, S. (2020). Exposure to COVID-19 pandemic stress: Associations with depression and anxiety in emerging adults in the United States. *Depression and Anxiety, 37*, 1280-1288.
- LaGreca, A. M., Silverman, W. K., Lai, B., & Jaccard, J. (2010). Hurricane-related exposure experiences and stressors, other life events, and social support: Concurrent and prospective impact on children's persistent posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78*, 794-805.
- LaGreca, A. M., Silverman, W. K., Vernberg, E. M., & Prinstein, M. J. (1996). Symptoms of posttraumatic stress in children after Hurricane Andrew: A prospective study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 64*(64), 712-723.
- Lai, T.-J., Chang, C.-M., Connor, K. M., Lee, L.-C., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2004). Full and partial PTSD among earthquake survivors in rural Taiwan. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 38*, 313-322.
- Laurencin, C. T., & Walker, J. M. (2020). A Pandemic on a Pandemic: Racism and COVID-19 in Blacks. *Cell systems, 11*(1), 9-10.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1999). *Stress and emotion*. New York: Springer.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Lee, S., & Waters, S. F. (2021). Asians and Asian Americans' experiences of racial discrimination during the COVID-19 pandemic: Impacts on health outcomes and the buffering role of social support. *Stigma and Health, 6*(1), 70.
- Leon, K. A., Hyre, A. D., Ompad, D., DeSalvo, K. B., & Muntner, P. (2007). Perceived stress among a workforce 6 months following hurricane Katrina. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 42*, 1005-1011.
- Li, W., & Wang, S. (2020). Prevalence and predictors of general psychiatric disorders and loneliness during COVID-19 in the United Kingdom. *Psychiatry Research, 291*, 113267.
- Liu, J. J., Ein, N., Gervasio, J., & Vickers, K. (2019). The efficacy of stress reappraisal interventions on stress responsivity: A meta-analysis and systematic review of existing evidence. *PLoS One, 14*(2), e0212854.
- Liu, Y., Finch, B. K., Brenneke, S. G., Thomas, K., & Le, P. D. (2020). Perceived discrimination and mental distress amid the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from the understanding America study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 59*(4), 481-492.
- Loganovsky, K., Havenaar, J. M., Tintle, N. L., Guey, L. T., Kotov, R., & Bromet, E. J. (2008). The mental health of clean-up workers 18 years after the Chernobyl accident. *Psychological Medicine, 38*, 481-488.
- Low, R. S. T., Overall, N. C., Chang, V. T., Henderson, A. M. E., & Sibley, C. G. (2021). Emotion regulation and psychological and physical health during a nationwide COVID-19 lockdown. *Emotion, 21*, 1671-1690.
- Lowe, S. R., Chan, C. S., & Rhodes, J. E. (2010). Pre-hurricane perceived social support protects against psychological distress: a longitudinal analysis of low-income mothers. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78*, 551-560.
- Lowe, S. R., McGrath, J. A., Young, M. N., Kwok, R. K., Engel, L. S., Galea, S., & Sandler, D. P. (2019). Cumulative disaster exposure and mental and physical health symptoms

- among a large sample of residents of the U.S. Gulf Coast residents. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *32*, 196-205.
- Lowe, S. R., Tracy, M., Cerdá, M., Norris, F. H., & Galea, S. (2013). Immediate and longer-term stressors and the mental health of Hurricane Ike survivors. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *26*, 753-761.
- Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development*, *71*, 543-562.
- Macias Gil, R., Marcelin, J. R., Zuniga-Blanco, B., Marquez, C., Mathew, T., & Piggott, D. A. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic: disparate health impact on the Hispanic/Latinx population in the United States. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *222*(10), 1592-1595.
- Magson, N. R., Freeman, J. Y., Rapee, R. M., Richardson, C. E., Oar, E. L., & Fardouly, J. (2021). Risk and protective factors for prospective changes in adolescent mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *50*, 44-57.
- Marvaldi, M., Mallet, J., Dubertret, C., Moro, M. R., & Guessoum, S. B. (2021). Anxiety, depression, trauma-related, and sleep disorders among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, *126*, 252-264.
- Masten, A. S. (2007). Resilience in developing systems: Progress and promise as the fourth wave rises. *Development and Psychopathology*, *19*, 921-930.
- Masten, A. S., & Narayan, A. J. (2012). Child development in the context of disaster, war, and terrorism: Pathways of risk and resilience. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *63*, 227-257.
- McGuire, A. P., Gauthier, J. M., Anderson, L. M., Hollingsworth, D. W., Tracy, M., Galea, S., & Coffey, S. F. (2018). Social support moderates effects of natural disaster exposure on depression and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: Effects for displaced and nondisplaced residents. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *31*, 223-233.
- McKnight-Eily, L. R., Okoro, C. A., Strine, T. W., Verlenden, J., Hollis, N. D., Njai, R., . . . Thomas, C. (2021). Racial and ethnic disparities in the prevalence of stress and worry, mental health conditions, and increased substance use among adults during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, April and May 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *70*(5), 162.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Conron, K. J., Koenen, K. C., & Gilman, S. E. (2010). Childhood adversity, adult stressful life events, and risk of past-year psychiatric disorder: A test of the stress sensitization hypothesis in a population-based sample of adults. *Psychological Medicine*, *40*, 1647-1658.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Fairbank, J. A., Gruber, M. J., Jones, R. T., Lakoma, M. D., Pfefferbaum, B., . . . Kessler, R. C. (2009). Serious emotional disturbance among youths exposed to Hurricane Katrina 2 years postdisaster. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *48*, 1069-1078.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Fairbank, J. A., Gruber, M. J., Jones, R. T., Osofsky, J. D., Pfefferbaum, B., . . . Kessler, R. C. (2010). Trends in serious emotional disturbance among youths exposed to Hurricane Katrina. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *49*, 990-1000.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A., & Kessler, R. C. (2012). Childhood adversities and first onset of psychiatric disorders in a national sample of adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *69*, 1151-1160.

- McLaughlin, K. A., & Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2009). Mechanisms linking stressful life events and mental health problems in a prospective, community-based sample of adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 44*, 153-160.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Hatzenbuehler, M. L., & Hilt, L. M. (2009). Emotion dysregulation as a mechanism linking peer victimization to the development of internalizing symptoms among youth. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 77*, 894-904.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., Bromet, E., Karam, E. G., Liu, H., Petukhova, M., . . . Kessler, R. C. (2017). Childhood adversities and post-traumatic stress disorder: evidence for stress sensitization in the World Mental Health Surveys. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 211*, 280-288.
- McLaughlin, K. A., & Sheridan, M. A. (2016). Beyond cumulative risk: A dimensional approach to childhood adversity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 25*, 239-245.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., Humphreys, K. L., Belsky, J., & Ellis, B. E. (2021). The value of dimensional models of early experience: Thinking clearly about concepts and categories. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 16*, 1463-1472.
- McRae, K., Jacobs, S. E., Ray, R. D., John, O. P., & Gross, J. J. (2012). Individual differences in reappraisal ability: Links to reappraisal frequency, well-being, and cognitive control. *Journal of research in personality, 46*, 2-7.
- Merikanto, I., Kortesoja, L., Benedict, C., Chung, F., Cedernaes, J., Espie, C. A., . . . Bjorvatn, B. (2021). Evening-types show highest increase of sleep and mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic—multinational study on 19 267 adults. *Sleep, epub ahead of print*.
- Meyers, J. L., Lowe, S. R., Eaton, N. R., Krueger, R., Grant, B. F., & Hasin, D. (2015). Childhood maltreatment, 9/11 exposure, and latent dimensions of psychopathology: A test of stress sensitization. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 68*, 337-345.
- Michl, L. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Shepherd, K., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2013). Rumination as a mechanism linking stressful life events to symptoms of depression and anxiety: Longitudinal evidence in early adolescents and adults. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 122*, 339-352.
- Monroe, S. M. (2008). Modern approaches to conceptualizing and measuring human life stress. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 4*, 33-52.
- Moore, S. A., Zoellner, L. A., & Mollenholt, N. (2008). Are expressive suppression and cognitive reappraisal associated with stress-related symptoms? *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 46*, 993-1000.
- Mude, W., Oguoma, V. M., Nyanhanda, T., Mwanri, L., & Njue, C. (2021). Racial disparities in COVID-19 pandemic cases, hospitalisations, and deaths: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of global health, 11*.
- Myers, B., McLaughlin, K. A., Wang, S., Blanco, C., & Stein, D. J. (2014). Associations between childhood adversity, adult stressful life events, and past-year drug use disorders in the National Epidemiological Study of Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 28*, 1117-1126.
- Nagata, J. M., Cortez, C. A., Cattle, C. J., Ganson, K. T., Iyer, P., Bibbins-Domingo, K., & Baker, F. C. (2021). Screen time use among US adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic: findings from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study. *JAMA Pediatrics, epub ahead of print*.

- Nelson, B. D., Perlman, G., Klein, D. N., Kotov, R., & Hajack, G. (2016). Blunted neural response to rewards as a prospective predictor of the development of depression in adolescent girls. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *173*, 1223-1230.
- Neria, Y., Nandi, A., & Galea, S. (2008). Post-traumatic stress disorder following disasters: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, *38*, 467-480.
- Nguyen, P., Le, L. K.-D., Nguyen, D., Gao, L., Dunstan, D. W., & Moodie, M. (2020). The effectiveness of sedentary behaviour interventions on sitting time and screen time in children and adults: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *17*(1), 1-11.
- Norris, F. H. (1992). Epidemiology of trauma: Frequency and impact of different potentially traumatic events on different demographic groups. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *60*, 409-418.
- Norris, F. H., Friedman, M. J., & Watson, P. J. (2002). 60,000 disaster victims speak, pt 1: an empirical review of the empirical literature, 1981-2001. *Psychiatry*, *65*, 207-239.
- Norris, F. H., & Kaniasty, K. (1996). Received and perceived social support in times of stress: A test of the social support deterioration deterrance model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*, 498-511.
- Norris, F. H., Perilla, J. L., Riad, J. K., Kaniasty, K., & Lavizzo, E. A. (1999). Stability and change in stress, resources, and psychological distress following natural disaster: Findings from Hurricane Andrew. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping*, *12*, 363-396.
- Norris, F. H., & Uhl, G. A. (1993). Chronic stress as a mediator of acute stress: The case of Hurricane Hugo. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *23*, 1263-1284.
- Ochsner, K. N., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The cognitive control of emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *9*, 242-249.
- Ochsner, K. N., Hughes, B., Robertson, E. R., Cooper, J. C., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2008). Neural systems supporting the control of affective and cognitive conflicts. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *21*, 1841-1854.
- Oliva, S., Russo, G., Gili, R., Russo, L., Di Mauro, A., Spagnoli, A., . . . Manti, F. (2021). Risks and protective factors associated with mental health symptoms during COVID-19 home confinement in Italian children and adolescents. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, *9*, 664702.
- Osofsky, J. D., Osofsky, H. J., Weems, C. F., Hansel, T. C., & King, L. S. (2016). Effects of stress related to the gulf oil spill on child and adolescent mental health. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *41*, 65-72.
- Ozer, E. J., Best, S. R., Lipsey, T. L., & Weiss, D. S. (2003). Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *129*, 52-73.
- Özmete, E., & Pak, M. (2020). The relationship between anxiety levels and perceived social support during the pandemic of COVID-19 in Turkey. *Social Work in Public Health*, *35*, 603-616.
- Pardee, M., Kuzma, E., Dahlem, C. H. G. Y., Boucher, N., & Darling-Fisher, C. S. (2017). Current state of screening high-ACE youth and emerging adults in primary care. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, *29*, 716-724.
- Park, J. (2021). Who is hardest hit by a pandemic? Racial disparities in COVID-19 hardship in the US. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, *25*(2), 149-177.
- Parker, K., Uddin, R., Ridgers, N. D., Brown, H., Veitch, J., Salmon, J., . . . Toffoletti, K. (2021). The use of digital platforms for adults' and adolescents' physical activity during the


- COVID-19 pandemic (our life at home): survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(2), e23389.
- Pereira, A. I., Muris, P., Roberto, M. S., Stallard, P., Garcia-Lopez, L.-J., Tulbure, B. T., . . . Barros, L. (2021). Cumulative risk exposure and social isolation as correlates of carer and child mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: An online study with families from various European countries. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, epub ahead of print.
- Philip, P., Dupuy, L., Morin, C. M., de Sevin, E., Bioulac, S., Taillard, J., . . . Micoulaud-Franchi, J.-A. (2020). Smartphone-based virtual agents to help individuals with sleep concerns during COVID-19 confinement: feasibility study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(12), e24268.
- Pierce, M., Hope, H., Ford, T., Hatch, S., Hotopf, M., John, A., . . . Abel, K. M. (2020). Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 7, 883-892.
- Pizzagalli, D. A. (2014). Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 10, 393-423.
- Pizzagalli, D. A., Bogdan, R., Ratner, K. G., & Jahn, A. L. (2007). Increased perceived stress is associated with blunted hedonic capacity: Potential implications for depression research. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45, 2742-2753.
- Platt, J. M., Lowe, S. R., Galea, S., Norris, F. H., & Koenen, K. C. (2016). A longitudinal study of the bidirectional relationship between social support and posttraumatic stress following a natural disaster. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 29, 205-213.
- Prime, H., Wade, M., & Browne, D. T. (2020). Risk and resilience in family well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. *American Psychologist*, 75, 631-643.
- Qu, Y., Li, X., Ni, B., He, X., Zhang, K., & Wu, G. (2021). Identifying the role of parent-child conflict and intimacy in Chinese adolescents' psychological distress during school reopening in COVID-19 pandemic. *Developmental Psychology*, 57, 1735-1747.
- Racine, N., McArthur, B. A., Cooke, J. E., Eirich, R., Zhu, J., & Madigan, S. (2021). Global prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 175, 1142-1150.
- Ren, H., He, X., Bian, X., Shang, X., & Liu, J. (2021). The protective roles of exercise and maintenance of daily living routines for Chinese adolescents during the COVID-19 quarantine period. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 68, 35-42.
- Roberts, R. E., & Duong, H. T. (2017). Is there an association between short sleep duration and adolescent anxiety disorders? *Sleep Medicine*, 30, 82-87.
- Robillard, R., Dion, K., Pennestri, M. H., Solomonova, E., Lee, E., Saad, M., & Kendzerska, T. (2021). Profiles of sleep changes during the COVID-19 pandemic: Demographic, behavioural and psychological factors. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 30, e13231.
- Robinson, E., Sutin, A. R., Daly, M., & Jones, A. (2022). A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies comparing mental health before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 296, 567-576.
- Rodman, A. M., Jenness, J. L., Weissman, D. G., Pine, D. S., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2019). Neurobiological markers of resilience to depression following childhood maltreatment: The role of neural circuits supporting the cognitive control of emotion. *Biological Psychiatry*, 86, 464-473.

- Rodman, A. M., Rosen, M. L., Kasparek, S. W., Mayes, M., Lengua, L. J., Meltzoff, A. N., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2021). Social behavior and youth psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *PsyArXiv*.
- Rodriguez, L. M., Stewart, S. H., & Neighbors, C. (2021). Effects of a brief web-based interpersonal conflict cognitive reappraisal expressive-writing intervention on changes in romantic conflict during COVID-19 quarantine. *Couple and Family Psychology: Research and Practice*.
- Rosen, M. L., Rodman, A. M., Kasparek, S., Mayes, M., Freeman, M. M., Lengua, L. J., . . . McLaughlin, K. A. (2021). Promoting youth mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *PLoS One*, *16*, e0255294.
- Rousson, A. N., Fleming, C. B., & Herrenkohl, T. I. (2020). Childhood maltreatment and later stressful life events as predictors of depression: A test of the stress sensitization hypothesis. *Psychology of Violence*, *10*, 493-500.
- Schiff, M., Pat-Horenczyk, R., & Peled, O. (2010). The role of social support for Israeli adolescents continually exposed to terrorism: protective or compensatory factors? *Journal of Child and Adolescent Trauma*, *3*, 95-108.
- Schleider, J. L., Mullarkey, M. C., Fox, K. R., Dobias, M. L., Shroff, A., Hart, E. A., & Roulston, C. A. (2021). A randomized trial of online single-session interventions for adolescent depression during COVID-19. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *epub ahead of print*.
- Schleider, J. L., & Weisz, J. R. (2017). Little treatments, promising effects? Meta-analysis of single-session interventions for youth psychiatric problems. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *56*(2), 107-115.
- Schwartz, R., Liu, B., Sison, C., Kerath, S. M., Breil, T., Murphy, L., & Taioli, E. (2016). Study design and results of a population-based study on perceived stress following Hurricane Sandy. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, *10*, 325-332.
- Seitz, K. I., Bertsch, K., & Herpertz, S. C. (2021). A prospective study of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in childhood trauma-exposed individuals: Social support matters. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *34*, 477-486.
- Shahar, G., Cohen, G., Grogan, K. E., Barile, J. P., & Henrich, C. C. (2009). Terrorism-related perceived stress, adolescent depression, and social support from friends. *Pediatrics*, *124*, e235-e240.
- Shahar, G., & Henrich, C. C. (2016). Perceived family social support buffers against the effects of exposure to rocket attacks on adolescent depression, aggression, and severe violence. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *30*, 163-168.
- Shanahan, L., Copeland, W. E., Angold, A., Bondy, C. L., & Costello, E. J. (2014). Sleep problems predict and are predicted by generalized anxiety/depression and oppositional defiant disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *53*, 550-558.
- Shanahan, L., Steinhoff, A., Bechtiger, L., Murray, A. L., Nivette, A., Hepp, U., . . . Eisner, M. (2020). Emotional distress in young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence of risk and resilience from a longitudinal cohort study. *Psychological Medicine*, *epub ahead of print*.
- Shang, F., Kaniasty, K., Cowlshaw, S., Wade, D., Ma, H., & Forbes, D. (2019). Social support following a natural disaster: A longitudinal study of survivors of the 2013 Lushan earthquake in China. *Psychiatry Research*, *273*, 641-646.

- Shear, M. K., McLaughlin, K. A., Ghesquiere, A., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., & Kessler, R. C. (2011). Complicated grief associated with Hurricane Katrina. *Depression and Anxiety*, *28*, 648-657.
- Shreffler, K. M., Joachims, C. N., Tiemeyer, S., Simmons, W. K., Teague, T. K., & Hays-Grudo, J. (2021). Childhood adversity and perceived distress from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Adversity and Resilience Science*, *2*, 1-4.
- Siegel, A., & Lahav, Y. (2021). Emotion regulation and distress during the CoViD-19 pandemic: the role of childhood abuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, epub ahead of print. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/08862605211021968
- Silva Moreira, P., Ferreira, S., Couto, B., Machado-Sousa, M., Fernández, M., Raposo-Lima, C., . . . Morgado, P. (2021). Protective elements of mental health status during the COVID-19 outbreak in the Portuguese population. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*, 1910.
- Skinner, A. T., Godwin, J., Alampay, L. P., Lansford, J. E., Bacchini, D., Bornstein, M. H., . . . Yotanyamaneewong, S. (2021). Parent–adolescent relationship quality as a moderator of links between COVID-19 disruption and reported changes in mothers' and young adults' adjustment in five countries. *Developmental Psychology*, *57*, 1648-1666.
- Slavich, G. M., & Irwin, M. (2014). From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychological Bulletin*, *140*, 774-815.
- Stanton, R., To, Q. G., Khalesi, S., Williams, S. L., Alley, S. J., Thwaite, T. L., . . . Vandelanotte, C. (2020). Depression, anxiety and stress during COVID-19: associations with changes in physical activity, sleep, tobacco and alcohol use in Australian adults. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*, 4065.
- Stockman, J. K., Wood, B. A., & Anderson, K. M. (2021). Racial and ethnic differences in covid-19 outcomes, stressors, fear, and prevention behaviors among us women: Web-based cross-sectional study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *23*(7), e26296.
- Szkody, E., Stearns, M., Stanhope, L., & McKinney, C. (2021). Stress-buffering role of social support during COVID-19. *Family Process*, *60*, 1002-1015.
- Thomeer, M. B., Moody, M. D., & Yahirun, J. (2022). Racial and ethnic disparities in mental health and mental health care during the CoViD-19 pandemic. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 1-16.
- Tomaka, J., Blascovich, J., & Kelsey, R. M. (1993). Subjective, physiological, and behavioral effects of threat and challenge appraisal. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *65*, 248-260.
- Troy, A. S., Wilhelm, F. H., Shallcross, A. J., & Mauss, I. B. (2010). Seeing the silver lining: cognitive reappraisal ability moderates the relationship between stress and depressive symptoms. *Emotion*, *10*, 783-795.
- Ulrich, A. K., Full, K. M., Cheng, B., Gravagna, K., Nederhoff, D., & Basta, N. E. (2021). Stress, anxiety, and sleep among college and university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of American College Health*, epub ahead of print.
- Veeramachaneni, K., Slavish, D. C., Dietch, J. R., Kelly, K., & Taylor, D. J. (2019). Intraindividual variability in sleep and perceived stress in young adults. *Sleep Health*, *5*, 572-579.
- Verger, P., Rotily, M., Hunault, C., Brenot, J., Baruffol, E., & Bard, D. (2003). Assessment of exposure to a flood disaster in a mental-health study. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, *13*, 436-442.

- Vidal Bustamante, C. M., Rodman, A. M., Dennison, M. J., Flournoy, J. C., Mair, P., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2020). Within-person fluctuations in stressful life events, sleep, and anxiety and depression symptoms during adolescence: a multiwave prospective study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *61*, 1116-1125.
- Vidal-Ribas, P., Benson, B., Vitale, A. D., Keren, H., Harrewijn, A., Fox, N. A., . . . Stringaris, A. (2019). Bidirectional associations between stress and reward processing in children and adolescents: a longitudinal neuroimaging study. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, *4*, 893-901.
- Wang, H., Zhou, X., Jia, X., Song, C., Luo, X., Zhang, H., . . . Ye, J. (2021). Emotional exhaustion in front-line healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Wuhan, China: the effects of time pressure, social sharing and cognitive appraisal. *BMC Public Health*, *21*, 1-10.
- Weissman, D. G., Rodman, A. M., Rosen, M. L., Kasperek, S., Mayes, M., Sheridan, M. A., . . . McLaughlin, K. A. (2021). Contributions of emotion regulation and brain structure and function to adolescent internalizing problems and stress vulnerability during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *Biological Psychiatry: Global Open Science*, *1*, 272-282.
- Werner, A., Kater, M. J., Schlarb, A. A., & Lohaus, A. (2021). Sleep and stress in times of the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of personal resources. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, epub ahead of print.
- Wu, C., Qian, Y., & Wilkes, R. (2021). Anti-Asian discrimination and the Asian-white mental health gap during COVID-19. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, *44*(5), 819-835.
- Wu, L., Sun, S., He, Y., & Jiang, B. (2016). The effect of interventions targeting screen time reduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*, *95*(27).
- Wu, T., Jia, X., Shi, H., Niu, J., Yin, X., Xie, J., & Wang, X. (2021). Prevalence of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *281*, 91-98.
- Xu, C., Xu, Y., Xu, S., Zhang, Q., Liu, X., Shao, Y., & Li, M. (2020). Cognitive reappraisal and the association between perceived stress and anxiety symptoms in COVID-19 isolated people. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*, 858.
- Ye, B., Wu, D., Im, H., Liu, M., Wang, X., & Yang, Q. (2020). Stressors of COVID-19 and stress consequences: the mediating role of rumination and the moderating role of psychological support. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *118*, 105466.
- Zandifar, A., Badrfam, R., Yazdani, S., Arzaghi, S. M., Rahimi, F., Ghasemi, S., . . . Qorbani, M. (2020). Prevalence and severity of depression, anxiety, stress and perceived stress in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Journal of Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders*, *19*, 1431-1438.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Ma, X., & Di, Q. (2020). Mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemics and the mitigation effects of exercise: a longitudinal study of college students in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*, 3722.
- Zhen, L., Nan, Y., & Pham, B. (2021). College students coping with COVID-19: stress-buffering effects of self-disclosure on social media and parental support. *Communication Research Reports*, *38*, 23-31.
- Zhou, Y., MacGeorge, E. L., & Myrick, J. G. (2020). Mental health and its predictors during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic experience in the United States. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*, 6315.

Figure 1.



Conceptual models focused on characteristics of environmental stressors—including cumulative risk, specific stressors, and stress sensitization—highlight who may be most in need of intervention as a function of stressful experiences. These include individuals with exposure to a large number of chronic stressors; who have experienced economic adversity, loss, and ongoing daily disruptions; and who have experienced early-life adversity. Stress pathway models centered on stress appraisals, buffers, and mechanisms, in contrast, point to potential targets for interventions to reduce stress-related psychopathology, including appraisal processes, social support, physical activity, sleep, emotion regulation, and reward processing.

Highlights

- Stress-related psychopathology has increased during the COVID-19 pandemic
- Models of stressor types, buffers, and mechanisms can shed light on these patterns
- We review evidence for these models of stress during the pandemic
- Implications for interventions to reduce stress-related psychopathology are discussed

Journal Pre-proof