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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Recent conceptual models argue that early life adversity (ELA) accelerates development, which may contribute to poor mental and physical health outcomes. Evidence for accelerated development in youths comes from studies of telomere shortening or advanced pubertal development following circumscribed ELA experiences and neuroimaging studies of circuits involved in emotional processing. It is unclear whether all ELA is associated with accelerated development across global metrics of biological aging or whether this pattern emerges following specific adversity types.

METHODS: In 247 children and adolescents 8 to 16 years of age with wide variability in ELA exposure, we evaluated the hypothesis that early environments characterized by threat, but not deprivation, would be associated with accelerated development across two global biological aging metrics: DNA methylation (DNAm) age and pubertal stage relative to chronological age. We also examined whether accelerated development explained associations of ELA with depressive symptoms and externalizing problems.

RESULTS: Exposure to threat-related ELA (e.g., violence) was associated with accelerated DNAm age and advanced pubertal stage, but exposure to deprivation (e.g., neglect, food insecurity) was not. In models including both ELA types, threat-related ELA was uniquely associated with accelerated DNAm age ($b = .18$) and advanced pubertal stage ($b = .28$), whereas deprivation was uniquely associated with delayed pubertal stage ($b = -.21$). Older DNAm age was related to greater depressive symptoms, and a significant indirect effect of threat exposure on depressive symptoms was observed through DNAm age.

CONCLUSIONS: Early threat-related experiences are particularly associated with accelerated biological aging in youths, which may be a mechanism linking ELA with depressive symptoms.
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Environmental experiences in childhood and adolescence play a meaningful role in shaping health across the life span. In particular, early life adversity (ELA)—experiences that represent a deviation from the expectable environment and require adaptation, encompassing physical and sexual abuse, neglect, and institutionalization—has been associated with deleterious mental and physical health outcomes (1–6).

Accumulating evidence suggests that ELA is associated with accelerated development and that this stress-induced acceleration may have negative downstream consequences for health (7,8). For example, some forms of ELA are associated with accelerated neural development, characterized by more mature function in fronto-amygdala circuits involved in emotional processing and learning (7,9). Other work has examined whether ELA is related to accelerated aging using more global metrics of development, including cellular and reproductive strategy indicators. Specifically, ELA has been associated with shorter telomere length, suggesting that telomere erosion may be a cellular mechanism by which ELA is biologically embedded and undermines long-term health (8,10–13). Additionally, the onset of puberty is a reliable marker of maturation in youths that exhibits significant variation regarding age at onset and pace of progression (14). ELA has been associated with faster sexual maturation, including earlier pubertal timing and age of menarche (15–17). Although these lines of work have often been conducted independently, a recent model by Belsky and Shalev (8) posits that associations of ELA with shorter telomeres and accelerated pubertal maturation may reflect the same evolutionary-developmental process. This process is consistent with an evolutionary, life history perspective (15,16,18,19) whereby reproductive fitness is prioritized over growth and maintenance in the context of adverse early environments (8). Although accelerated aging may increase reproductive fitness, it can have negative consequences for physical and mental health.

In the present study, we examine whether ELA is associated with both cellular and reproductive strategy metrics of biological aging in youths, focusing on a promising, but
understudied, cellular metric in studies of ELA in youths: accelerated epigenetic age. Recently established epigenetic clocks indicate that it is possible to quantify biological age relative to chronological age based on genome-wide DNA methylation (DNAm) data (20). DNAm age correlates strongly with chronological age (21), and advanced DNAm age relative to chronological age (i.e., accelerated epigenetic aging) indicates disproportionate biological aging (20). Accelerated epigenetic age has been associated with numerous aging-related risk factors and outcomes, including all-cause mortality (22,23), cancer-related and cardiovascular-related mortality (22), cognitive decline (24,25), brain aging (25), and obesity (26). Although DNAm age is heritable, it is sensitive to environmental influences (20,27), and advanced epigenetic aging has been associated with ELA in adults (28–31). In the only study examining this link in children, Jovanovic et al. (32) found that direct exposure to violence was associated with advanced DNAm age, but witnessing violence was not. Accelerated epigenetic age was also associated with a more adult-like cardiovascular response to stress. This study provides preliminary evidence that advanced epigenetic aging during childhood may be one mechanism through which violence exposure impacts health outcomes. For our reproductive strategy metric of biological aging, we focused on pubertal stage relative to chronological age. Pubertal stage is one of the clearest markers of development and physical maturation in youths that can be easily reported and assessed. Early pubertal timing—an indicator of accelerated biological aging—has frequently been associated with numerous negative health outcomes over the life span (33,34).

We extend prior work by examining whether accelerated development following ELA is global or specific to certain types of ELA. ELA encompasses a wide range of experiences, including physical and sexual abuse, neglect, chronic poverty, and others. A recent conceptual model posits that ELA reflects several underlying dimensions of environmental experience, which have neurodevelopmental consequences that are at least partially distinct (35–37). In particular, experiences of threat that reflect potential harm and experiences involving deprivation, or an absence of expected environmental inputs, have been shown to have distinct associations with neurodevelopmental outcomes (35–43). We posit that ELA characterized by threat (i.e., violence exposure) should be particularly likely to result in accelerated biological aging. Life history theory argues that exposure to harsh (e.g., violent) environments should favor the development of fast life history strategies and accelerated maturation, including early onset of puberty (15,18). In contrast, exposure to deprived environments in which bioenergetic resources are scarce should favor the development of slower life history traits that conserve resources and delay puberty and reproduction (18). Thus, early exposure to threat-related ELA (e.g., physical abuse, violence exposure) as opposed to deprivation (e.g., neglect, food insecurity) may be especially likely to trigger accelerated biological aging. Consistent with this idea, research suggests that threat-related ELA—including harsh parenting and maltreatment—is related to earlier onset of puberty (17,44–47). In contrast, children who experience deprivation, including food insecurity, neglect, and parental absence, have been found to exhibit delayed onset of puberty (48–51). We are unaware of research examining how different ELA types influence epigenetic aging in youths.

In this study, we examined associations of multiple ELA types across the dimensions of threat and deprivation with advanced epigenetic age and pubertal development in a large sample of children and adolescents with wide variability in ELA exposure. We additionally investigated associations of these biological aging metrics with psychiatric symptoms in domains linked to accelerated pubertal development: depression and externalizing behaviors (33,34,52,53). Finally, we tested whether accelerated biological aging accounted for associations of ELA with psychiatric symptoms. We hypothesized that early threat, but not deprivation, exposure would be related to accelerated DNAm age and advanced pubertal stage relative to chronological age and that these biological aging indicators would partially explain associations with depressive symptoms and externalizing problems.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants and Procedure

Children 8 to 16 years of age and a parent or guardian were recruited to participate in a study examining ELA, emotion regulation, and psychopathology. Between January 2015 and January 2017, 262 children were enrolled from the community in Seattle, Washington (Supplemental Methods). All procedures were approved by the University of Washington Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from legal guardians; children provided written assent.

ELA Exposure

We used a multi-informant, multimethod approach for assessing ELA exposure. Children completed interview and self-report measures assessing child maltreatment, violence exposure, and other ELA; caregivers also completed several questionnaire measures assessing children’s exposure to maltreatment, trauma, and other adversities (Supplemental Methods). Across these validated measures, multiple ELA experiences reflecting threat and deprivation were assessed for all participants. Threat-related ELA included physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, domestic violence, and exposure to other forms of interpersonal violence; deprivation included emotional neglect, physical neglect, food insecurity, and low cognitive stimulation (i.e., cognitive deprivation). Children reported their age of first exposure for threat-related experiences of physical abuse, sexual abuse, and domestic violence; this information was not queried for other forms of ELA.

We created threat and deprivation exposure composites by summing the total number of threat and deprivation experiences, respectively, endorsed by the child and/or caregiver. Child and caregiver reports were combined using an “or” rule; each ELA was coded present if endorsed by the child or caregiver (Supplemental Methods).

Psychiatric Symptoms

Children completed the Children’s Depression Inventory, 2nd edition, a widely used self-report measure of depressive symptoms in youths with sound psychometric properties.
(54,55). To assess externalizing problems, children and caregivers completed the Youth Self-Report and Child Behavior Checklist (56). These widely used scales utilize normative data to generate age-standardized estimates of symptom severity. The externalizing scale has demonstrated reliability in discriminating between youths with and without psychopathology (56–58). We used the highest externalizing problems T-score from the child or caregiver.

Pubertal Stage

Pubertal stage was determined using self-report Tanner staging (59–61). Using schematic drawings of two secondary sex characteristics (pubic hair and breast or testes development), participants reported their developmental stage on a scale of 1 to 5. We computed an average score of these ratings. A Tanner stage of 1 signifies that no pubertal development has begun; a stage of 5 signifies adult levels of pubertal maturation. Self-report Tanner stage scores correlate with physicians’ physical examinations of pubertal development (62,63).

DNA Age

Saliva samples were collected using Oragene kits (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, ON, Canada). DNA extraction and methylation profiling were conducted by AKESOgen (Atlanta, GA). The Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) was used to assess methylation levels at >850,000 methylation sites. Horvath DNAm age estimates were calculated based on raw (nonnormalized) probe data (Supplemental Methods). As in prior research (28,32), we regressed DNAm age on chronological age; the unstandardized residuals indicated epigenetic age acceleration and were used as the dependent variables in analyses. Positive residuals indicate that DNAm age is higher than expected given chronological age, whereas negative residuals indicate that DNAm age is lower than expected given chronological age (28).

Covariates

We adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, and family poverty in all analyses, as these represent plausible confounders of associations of threat and deprivation with DNAm age and pubertal stage. Children reported on their sex and race/ethnicity. Caregivers reported total household income, which was used to assess whether the family was living in poverty. The income-to-needs ratio was calculated by dividing total household income by the 2015 U.S. census–defined poverty line for a family of that size, with a value <1 indicating that a family was living below the poverty line. We included poverty as a covariate because it is a context that can increase the likelihood of exposure to experiences of threat and deprivation as well as other environmental risks that have unknown effects on biological aging (e.g., exposure to toxins, differences in parenting, crowding) (64). Adjusting for poverty allowed us to examine threat and deprivation after removing the variance associated with these additional environmental factors. Importantly, our pattern of results was similar with and without adjustment for poverty.

Analytic Approach

We examined if threat-related and deprivation-related ELA exposure were each associated with our biological aging metrics using linear regression, with DNAm age residuals and Tanner stage residuals (both residualized on chronological age) as dependent variables. Given high co-occurrence of threat and deprivation, we also estimated a model that included both forms of ELA to evaluate unique associations of each ELA type with biological aging.

To investigate whether ELA and our biological aging metrics were related to depressive symptoms and externalizing problems, we used linear regression. These models adjusted for age; thus, we used DNAm age and Tanner stage as independent variables, rather than the residuals. We investigated whether there were significant indirect effects of ELA on symptoms through biological aging metrics using bootstrapping with bias-corrected confidence intervals conducted with the PROCESS macro (65).

We conducted two sensitivity analyses. First, because the threat composite had a greater range than the deprivation composite, we ran a sensitivity analysis to ensure that this wider variability did not explain findings. We created a threat composite that summed the number of experiences across physical, sexual, and emotional abuse and domestic violence and then added a standardized score (mean = 0 [SD = 1]) of number of types of directly experienced interpersonal violence rather than a count variable. This made the ranges of the threat and deprivation composites comparable. We ran all analyses a second time using this alternative threat composite. Second, we covaried the estimated proportion of epithelial (buccal) cells in each sample in analyses with DNAm age variables; the proportion of epithelial cells in saliva varies across individuals and can influence DNAm (66). Consistent with prior research (32,66), we estimated the proportion of epithelial (buccal) cells using the method of Houseman et al. (67,68) and a reference from buccal cells (GSE46573) from the Gene Expression Omnibus. The proportion of epithelial cells was included along with other relevant covariates. We used a two-sided significance level of .05 for all analyses. The data for this study are available on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/43hfq/).

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics

Our analytic sample comprised 247 participants with data on ELA threat and/or deprivation composites, plus DNAm age (n = 205) and/or Tanner stage (n = 221). Sociodemographic characteristics of participants and ELA exposures are presented in Table 1. The majority of youths who experienced instances of threat-related ELA (74.6%) were first exposed in early life, before age 8 years. DNAm age ranged from 5.28 to 28.33 years (mean = 16.08 [SD = 4.67]) and was positively correlated with chronological age (r = .62, p < .0001). Mean Tanner stage was 3.18 (SD = 1.32, range = 1–5). Older age was associated with higher Tanner stage (r = .79, p < .0001). DNAm age and Tanner stage were positively correlated (r = .52, p < .0001). Following prior work (28,32), the residual of DNAm age on chronological age was used to measure epigenetic age acceleration (mean < 0.00001 [SD = 3.65], range = −10.55 to 11.53). Similarly, the residual of Tanner stage on chronological age was used to measure advanced pubertal development (mean < 0.00001 [SD = 0.81], range = −1.80 to 2.39). Positive scores on residual measures indicated accelerated biological
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ELA experiences characterized by threat and deprivation were positively correlated \( r = .61, p < .0001 \). However, only threat, and not deprivation, was associated with accelerated biological aging (Table 3). Higher threat exposure was associated with epigenetic age acceleration (Figure 1A) and advanced pubertal stage (Figure 2A). In contrast, deprivation was not associated with either biological aging metric (Figure 1B and 2B).

Given the high co-occurrence of threat and deprivation, we evaluated unique associations of each ELA type with biological aging by including both forms of ELA in the model (Table 3). Effect sizes for threat exposure were unchanged for accelerated epigenetic age (Figure 1C) and larger for advanced pubertal stage (Figure 2C) when adjusting for deprivation. In contrast, deprivation remained unassociated with epigenetic age (Figure 1D) and was associated with delayed pubertal stage (Figure 2D) after adjusting for threat exposure. There were no significant interactions between threat-related and deprivation-related ELA in predicting either biological aging metric \( \beta = -1.13, p > .090 \). Supplemental Table S1 presents associations of individual threat and deprivation experiences with the biological aging metrics.

Threat Exposure, Biological Aging, and Psychiatric Symptoms

Threat exposure—the ELA dimension associated with both biological aging metrics—was related to greater depressive symptoms and externalizing problems (Table 4). Deprivation was also associated with higher symptom levels (Table 4). Only DNAm age was related to greater depressive symptoms and, at trend level, externalizing problems; pubertal stage was not associated with either symptom measure (Table 4). DNAm age remained associated with depressive symptoms when threat exposure was included in the model. The indirect effect of threat exposure on depressive symptoms through DNAm age was positive \( 0.045 \) and significantly different from zero \( 95\% \) confidence interval, 0.001–0.125) (Figure 3).

### Table 1. Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Valid n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>12.7 (2.6) [8–16] 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>47.8 (118) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>38.9 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>27.9 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>12.1 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21.1 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family below poverty line</td>
<td>26.8 (62) 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Life Adversity Exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat exposure composite</td>
<td>5.2 (3.6) [0–14] 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>41.7 (103) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>24.7 (61) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional abuse</td>
<td>32.0 (79) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>45.7 (113) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of types of directly experienced interpersonal violence</td>
<td>3.7 (2.6) [0–10] 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation exposure composite</td>
<td>0.9 (1.1) [0–4] 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical neglect</td>
<td>27.5 (68) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional neglect</td>
<td>24.7 (61) 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>16.3 (40) 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive deprivation</td>
<td>23.2 (56) 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>8.8 (7.4) [0–39] 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing problems</td>
<td>56.8 (10.9) [34–81] 247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean (SD) [range] or % (n).

Table 2 presents associations of participant sociodemographics with biological aging metrics.

### Table 2. Associations of Participant Sociodemographics With Biological Aging Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>DNAm Age Residual</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Tanner Stage Residual</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( F, p )</td>
<td>Mean (SE)</td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>( F_{1,203} = 4.22, p = .041 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.49 (0.35)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55 (0.37)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.02 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td>( F_{3,201} = 1.15, p = .332 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55 (0.41)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.22 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 (0.50)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27 (0.71)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.63 (0.55)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.02 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Poverty Status</td>
<td>( F_{1,188} = 0.99, p = .321 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above poverty line</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18 (0.31)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below poverty line</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.42 (0.51)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epigenetic age acceleration differed by sex, such that girls had more positive DNAm age residuals than boys. Tanner stage residual score varied by race/ethnicity, with black youths having accelerated pubertal development compared with white youths. Tanner stage residuals were also greater for youths with families below, rather than above, the poverty line.

DNAm, DNA methylation.
Sensitivity Analyses

To ensure that findings were not due to the greater range of our threat composite relative to our deprivation composite, we conducted analyses using an alternative threat composite that had a range that was more comparable to the deprivation composite. Threat exposure operationalized in this way remained associated with accelerated epigenetic age and advanced pubertal stage (Supplemental Table S2); other findings were unchanged (Supplemental Table S3). The indirect effect of this alternative threat exposure on depressive symptoms through DNA methylation remained significant (indirect effect = 0.074 [95% confidence interval, 0.004–0.22]). We also covaried estimates of the proportion of epithelial (buccal) cells in each sample in analyses with DNA methylation, given that the proportion of epithelial cells in saliva can influence DNA methylation (61). Associations of ELA with DNA methylation were largely similar (Supplemental Table S4), as were associations of DNA methylation with depressive symptoms (Supplemental Table S5). The indirect effect of threat exposure on depressive symptoms through DNA methylation (indirect effect = 0.043 [95% confidence interval, −0.004 to 0.135]) was similar in effect size to the main analyses but not significant.

DISCUSSION

Accelerated aging is a potential mechanism that might contribute to poor health in youths with ELA. In this study, we found that ELA is associated with two global metrics of biological aging: advanced DNA methylation and pubertal stage compared with chronological age. We extend prior work by demonstrating specificity in the types of ELA that are associated with accelerated biological aging. ELA characterized by threat—specifically interpersonal violence—was associated with both aging metrics. The consistency of this pattern across these biological aging indicators suggests that ELA involving violence is characterized by faster development across multiple levels of analysis. In contrast, ELA characterized by deprivation was not only unrelated to epigenetic age but also associated with delayed pubertal stage relative to chronological age in models adjusting for threat exposure. Findings also suggested that accelerated DNA methylation was related to greater depressive symptoms and accounted, in part, for the association of threat exposure with depressive symptoms.

Our findings add to a growing literature suggesting that exposure to ELA may contribute to accelerated development (7,8). They further demonstrate that some ELA experiences are associated with a relatively global pattern of accelerated development, whereas others may have effects on certain neural circuits or systems that are not observable in global aging metrics. Our results are consistent with research on pubertal timing among children with maltreatment (17,44–47) and extend one prior study documenting accelerated epigenetic age in children who experienced violence (32). A similar pattern of accelerated epigenetic aging has been observed in adults with childhood trauma (28). Exposure to violence in childhood has also been associated with other cellular measures of accelerated aging, such as telomere shortening (10–13). Together, these results suggest that exposure to threatening early environments is associated with a global pattern of accelerated aging across numerous biological metrics.

In contrast, early exposure to deprivation—including neglect, food insecurity, and an absence of cognitive stimulation—was not associated with accelerated aging. In our model adjusting for threat exposure, deprivation was related to lower pubertal stage relative to chronological age, suggesting that the unique aspects of deprivation that are nonoverlapping with threat may be associated with delayed pubertal development. This pattern has been observed in several studies examining neglect and food insecurity and pubertal timing (49,50). Previous research has documented accelerated development in neural circuits underlying emotional processing and shorter telomeres in children exposed to institutionalization (8,69–71). Future research needs to determine whether the particularly extreme deprivation involved in institutional rearing is associated with other biological aging metrics, such as accelerated epigenetic age, and to evaluate whether the deprivation examined here in a community-based sample is associated with neural measures of accelerated development. Determining whether accelerated development is global or specific to certain neural circuits or systems following various forms of ELA is a critical unanswered question.

Together, these findings are consistent with life history theory (8,15,16,18,19). This evolutionary-developmental perspective suggests that exposure to harsh environments should favor the development of life history traits consistent with faster maturation, but exposure to deprived environments

Table 3. Regression Parameters and 95% Confidence Intervals for Associations of Early Life Adversity Experiences of Threat and Deprivation With Biological Aging Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DNAm Age Residual</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B (95% CI)</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat Exposure Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.16 (0.01 to 0.32)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.17 (–0.42 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.32 (0.01 to 0.07)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      | 0.06 (0.02 to 0.10)| 0.21                | 0.022               |

|                      | 0.05 (–0.73 to 0.64)| –0.02               | –0.890              |
| Deprivation Exposure Score|                   |                     |                     |
| Model 1             |                   |                     |                     |
| Model 2             |                   |                     |                     |

CI, confidence interval; DNAm, DNA methylation.

*Model 1 adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, and family poverty status.

*Model 2 further adjusted for deprivation exposure score.

*Model 2 further adjusted for threat exposure score.

Our findings add to a growing literature suggesting that exposure to ELA may contribute to accelerated development (7,8). They further demonstrate that some ELA experiences are associated with a relatively global pattern of accelerated development, whereas others may have effects on certain neural circuits or systems that are not observable in global aging metrics. Our results are consistent with research on pubertal timing among children with maltreatment (17,44–47) and extend one prior study documenting accelerated epigenetic age in children who experienced violence (32). A similar pattern of accelerated epigenetic aging has been observed in adults with childhood trauma (28). Exposure to violence in childhood has also been associated with other cellular measures of accelerated aging, such as telomere shortening (10–13). Together, these results suggest that exposure to threatening early environments is associated with a global pattern of accelerated aging across numerous biological metrics.

In contrast, early exposure to deprivation—including neglect, food insecurity, and an absence of cognitive stimulation—was not associated with accelerated aging. In our model adjusting for threat exposure, deprivation was related to lower pubertal stage relative to chronological age, suggesting that the unique aspects of deprivation that are nonoverlapping with threat may be associated with delayed pubertal development. This pattern has been observed in several studies examining neglect and food insecurity and pubertal timing (49,50). Previous research has documented accelerated development in neural circuits underlying emotional processing and shorter telomeres in children exposed to institutionalization (8,69–71). Future research needs to determine whether the particularly extreme deprivation involved in institutional rearing is associated with other biological aging metrics, such as accelerated epigenetic age, and to evaluate whether the deprivation examined here in a community-based sample is associated with neural measures of accelerated development. Determining whether accelerated development is global or specific to certain neural circuits or systems following various forms of ELA is a critical unanswered question.

Together, these findings are consistent with life history theory (8,15,16,18,19). This evolutionary-developmental perspective suggests that exposure to harsh environments should favor the development of life history traits consistent with faster maturation, but exposure to deprived environments...
should favor the development of life history traits that conserve resources and delay reproduction (15,18). Although nutritional deprivation is argued to be a primary driver of slower life history strategies, our findings suggest that cognitive and emotional deprivation may also produce a slower, rather than accelerated, progression of biological aging. Additional studies are needed to replicate this finding. Furthermore, some conceptual models—specifically, external prediction models (15,72)—posit that accelerated development after exposure to threat-related ELA reflects an adaptation to an expected future external environment, whereas internal prediction models (73,74) suggest that this accelerated development is, at least in part, an adaptation to a compromised internal state resulting from physiological damage from harsh environments. Although external and internal prediction models are not mutually exclusive (73), further research is required to better understand the degree to which accelerated development after threat-related ELA reflects adaptation to internal versus external cues.

More broadly, these findings add to a growing literature suggesting that different ELA types may have distinct developmental consequences (35–37,75). These specific effects can be observed only in studies that assess multiple dimensions of ELA and examine their unique associations with developmental outcomes (37,76). Given the high co-occurrence of ELA types (1,2,77), studies examining single exposure types without considering a range of co-occurring adversities may

**Figure 1.** (A–D) DNA methylation (DNAm) age residuals for youths as a function of the number of types of threat experiences (A, C) and deprivation experiences (B, D). Scatter plots with regression lines and 95% confidence intervals and $R^2$ values are shown. (A, B) Unadjusted associations. (C, D) Associations with threat and deprivation experiences residualized on covariates in the fully adjusted model (sex, race/ethnicity, family poverty status, and other dimension of early life adversity). Positive DNAm age residuals indicate accelerated DNAm age relative to chronological age.
obscure such specificity. Our findings suggest that considering distinct dimensions of ELA, rather than treating these experiences as a single exposure, holds promise for elucidating the consequences of ELA (35).

Theoretical models suggest that stress-induced acceleration due to ELA may have negative downstream consequences for mental health, but prior research in youths and young adults has been mixed regarding whether accelerated epigenetic age is associated with psychiatric symptoms. For example, accelerated DNA methylation age was associated with elevated depressive symptoms in one sample (30) but not with internalizing or externalizing symptoms in another sample (32). In the current study, accelerated DNA methylation age was related to elevated depressive symptoms and marginally with externalizing problems. Additionally, accelerated epigenetic age accounted in part for the association of threat exposure with depressive symptoms. Interestingly, we did not observe associations between pubertal stage and psychiatric symptoms. Evidence from population-based samples suggests that accelerated pubertal development is related to depression and externalizing psychopathology in adolescents (34,78,79). It is possible that we were underpowered to detect these associations in the current sample.

Given that our study is cross-sectional, longitudinal research is needed to better understand the mechanisms and consequences of ELA. For example, it is of interest to examine
how these biological aging metrics relate to changes in psychopathology and physical health over time and vice versa. The pace of biological aging in healthy adults is associated with aging-related outcomes, such as poor physical functioning and cognitive performance, even before midlife (80). Investigating whether changes in these biological aging metrics over time are associated with increases or decreases in psychopathology and physical health indicators is an important direction for future research. Moreover, evaluating the degree to which accelerated epigenetic aging is stable or can be ameliorated by positive social experience or intervention is a critical goal for future studies. Further studies are also needed to identify the specific neurobiological processes that are reflected in various aging metrics. DNAm age and Tanner stage were positively correlated in our sample, but a recent study comparing different biological aging measures found relatively low agreement between them (81). Additional research is needed to elucidate what aspects of the aging process these metrics represent and which are most relevant for understanding consequences for physical and mental health.

Our study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design limits our ability to draw conclusions about temporality. For example, it is possible that some youths may have reached adrenarche or puberty before experiencing some forms of ELA. Even though the vast majority of youths reported that threat-related experiences first occurred in early childhood (i.e., before the typical age of onset of adrenarche or puberty), the lack of prospective, fine-grained timing information on all ELA forms is a limitation. Further, it is possible that internalizing or externalizing psychopathology could have predated some ELA exposures. Thus, our findings should be considered with these caveats in mind. We plan to explore further some of these results as we continue longitudinal assessments of our sample. Second, we used retrospective reporting (both self-reports and caregiver reports) of ELA, which has established limitations (82). Additionally, our ELA composites assessed exposure to different types of threat-related and deprivation-related experiences but not other aspects of ELA that could influence biological aging, such as severity or duration of exposure. We also considered self-reported pubertal stage and psychiatric symptoms, although externalizing problems were based on child and caregiver reports. Research has shown high correlations between self-report and physical examination measures of pubertal development, but there is nonetheless variation in accuracy of self-reporting (61–63,83). Future studies should replicate our findings using interview-based measures of psychopathology and physical examination or hormonal metrics of pubertal development. Third, although the racial and ethnic diversity of our sample enhances the generalizability of our findings, such ancestral heterogeneity has been linked to differences in epigenetic age (84) and pubertal timing (85,86). However, race/ethnicity was not significantly associated with epigenetic age acceleration in our sample, and we adjusted for race/ethnicity. Fourth, we used the MethylationEPIC BeadChip to assess DNAm levels, but the Horvath epigenetic clock was developed on the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, Inc.). Although probes are highly overlapping across chips, 16 of the 353 sites for the Horvath epigenetic clock were not on the MethylationEPIC chip. However, initial work suggests congruence between DNAm levels from both chips (87,88).
Even with these limitations, our study has several unique strengths, including 1) considering cellular and reproductive strategy metrics of biological aging, 2) using a multimeasure and multi-informant approach to assessing ELA, and 3) examining how ELA dimensions of threat and deprivation relate to biological aging metrics and psychiatric symptoms.

Conclusions

Early experiences of threat and violence were associated with accelerated development with respect to epigenetic age and pubertal stage, whereas early experiences of deprivation were not. Advanced epigenetic aging may be one mechanism linking early threat exposure with depressive symptoms. Our findings shed light on how ELA, particularly threat-related experiences, may get under the skin to contribute to negative health outcomes.
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