Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 61:10 (2020), pp 1116-1125



Check for updates

## Within-person fluctuations in stressful life events, sleep, and anxiety and depression symptoms during adolescence: a multiwave prospective study

Constanza M. Vidal Bustamante,<sup>1</sup> D Alexandra M. Rodman,<sup>1</sup> Meg J. Dennison,<sup>2</sup> John C. Flournoy,<sup>1</sup> Patrick Mair,<sup>1</sup> and Katie A. McLaughlin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Australian Childhood Foundation, Ringwood, Vic., Australia

Background: Adolescence is characterized by substantial changes in sleep behavior, heightened exposure to stressful life events (SLEs), and elevated risk for internalizing problems like anxiety and depression. Although SLEs are consistently associated with the onset of internalizing psychopathology, the mechanisms underlying this relationship remain poorly understood, especially at the within-person level. Here, we leverage a high-frequency longitudinal design to examine sleep as a potential mechanism linking SLEs to increases in anxiety and depression symptoms over a one-year period. Methods: Thirty female adolescents aged 15-17 years completed 12 monthly inlaboratory assessments of exposure to SLEs and symptoms of anxiety and depression (n = 355 monthly assessments), and wore an actigraphy wristband for continuous monitoring of sleep for the duration of the study (n = 6,824 sleep days). Multilevel models examined concurrent and lagged within-person associations between SLEs, sleep duration and timing regularity, and anxiety and depression symptoms. **Results:** Within-person fluctuations in SLEs were associated with variability in sleep duration both concurrently and prospectively, such that when adolescents experienced greater SLEs than was typical for them, they exhibited more variable sleep duration that same month as well as the following month. In turn, within-person increases in sleep duration variability predicted greater anxiety symptoms in the same month and mediated the association between SLEs and anxiety. **Conclusions:** These findings highlight sleep disruptions as a mechanism underlying the longitudinal associations between SLEs and anxiety symptoms, and suggest that interventions promoting sleep schedule consistency may help mitigate risk for stress-related psychopathology in adolescence. **Keywords:** Adolescence; stress; sleep; actigraphy; depression; anxiety; longitudinal.

#### Introduction

Adolescence is characterized by increased vulnerability for the emergence of anxiety and depression (Kessler et al., 2005; Paus, Keshavan, & Giedd, 2008). Stressful life events (SLEs) predict the onset of internalizing symptoms (Hammen, 2005; Kendler, Hettema, Butera, Gardner, & Prescott, 2003; McLaughlin et al., 2012), and this link is particularly strong during adolescence (Grant et al., 2003; Larson & Ham, 1993), including at the within-person level (Jenness, Peverill, King, Hankin, & McLaughlin, 2019). However, remarkably little is known about the mechanisms that underlie this relationship. In the current intensive longitudinal study, we examine sleep behavior as a potential mechanism underlying the association between exposure to SLEs and symptoms of anxiety and depression in adolescence.

Sleep plays a critical role in supporting cognitive functioning, including attention, memory consolidation, and emotion regulation (Killgore, 2010), yet most adolescents sleep less than the 8–10 hr per night recommended for this developmental stage (Wheaton, Jones, Cooper, & Croft, 2018). Pubertydriven changes in circadian and homeostatic

systems, decreased parental supervision, and increased academic and social demands all gradually shift adolescents to later bedtimes, while early school times restrict adolescents' sleep on weekdays (Hummer & Lee, 2016). Importantly, heightened exposure and vulnerability to stressors can further disrupt adolescent sleep. SLEs often trigger worry and rumination, resulting in trouble initiating and maintaining sleep (Kim & Dimsdale, 2007; Michl, McLaughlin, Shepherd, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2013; Sadeh & Gruber, 2002). Although most research examining SLEs and sleep has been conducted in adults, several studies in college students have linked stress with shorter and more variable sleep (Bernert, Merrill, Braithwaite, Van Orden, & Joiner, 2007; Doane & Thurston, 2014; Veeramachaneni, Slavish, Dietch, Kelly, & Taylor, 2019), suggesting that these associations also exist in youth.

Sleep disturbances have been consistently associated with internalizing psychopathology (Murphy & Peterson, 2015; Weiner, Elkins, Pincus, & Comer, 2015). Short sleep duration and insomnia are common among youth with anxiety and depression (Weiner et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017), and work with adults has found an association between irregular sleep schedules (e.g., due to shift work and time zone changes) and mood disorders (McClung, 2013),

© 2020 Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK and 350 Main St, Malden, MA 02148, USA

suggesting that both sleep duration and sleep timing can contribute to internalizing problems (Czeisler, 2015). An emergent body of longitudinal research with adolescents suggests that sleep-related problems are both predicted by and predict increases in anxiety and depression symptoms months or years later (Alvaro, Roberts, Harris, & Bruni, 2017; Shanahan, Copeland, Angold, Bondy, & Costello, 2014; Wilson, Carpenter, & Hickie, 2019). However, these bidirectional associations have not always been found, with some studies reporting that short sleep duration predicted increased depression and anxiety symptoms a year later, but not vice versa (Conklin, Yao, & Richardson, 2018; Roberts & Duong, 2017).

Despite growing insights into the associations betweenSLEs, sleep, and internalizing symptoms, existing research has several limitations. Most studies rely on self-reportedsleepbehavior,whichisvulnerabletorecall biases (Biddle, Robillard, Hermens, Hickie, & Glozier, 2015; Silva et al., 2007). Actigraphy, in the form of wristbands and other wearables, is a promising tool to measuresleepnaturalistically overtime, butfewstudies have used this approach in youth, and typically for very shortperiods.Furthermore,moststudieshavefocused on clinical diagnoses, but continuous metrics of sleep behavior and internalizing symptoms allow for finergrained investigation of their fluctuations and associations, even in the absence of a diagnosable disorder (Markon, Chmielewski, & Miller, 2011). Finally, prior work has examined how short-term averages of sleep behaviorrelatetointernalizingdisordersmonthsoryears later, thus missing the intraindividual variability in these metricsoverdays,weeks,andmonths(Becker,Sidol,Van Dyk, Epstein, & Beebe, 2017). Longitudinal research examining within-person fluctuations in SLEs, sleep, and internalizing symptoms is clearly needed.

The present study used an intensive longitudinal approach and leveraged technological advances in objective measurement of sleep to: (a) characterize within-person fluctuations in exposure to SLEs, sleep behaviors, and internalizing symptoms; (b) examine the bidirectional associations between sleep and internalizing symptoms over time; and (c) explore the role of sleep as a mechanism underlying the association between SLEs and internalizing symptoms. We hypothesized that within-person increases in SLEs would predict decreases in sleep duration and sleep timing regularity, and that these changes in sleep would, in turn, predict increases in anxiety and depression and mediate the relationship between SLEs and internalizing symptoms.

## Methods

#### Participants

Thirty adolescent females aged 15–17 years (M = 16.36; SD = 0.75) completed 12 monthly study visits (n = 355 out of 360 possible assessments; 99% retention rate). Because our focus was on within-person effects, we chose to reduce interindividual variability in sex and age. Females in this age range were

chosen given their elevated risk for stress-related internalizing symptoms (Leadbeater, Blatt, & Quinlan, 1995). Participants were recruited from school-related and public spaces in Seattle, WA, between 2016 and 2018. A total of 60% of the sample met criteria for a depressive or anxiety disorder in their lifetime, and 40% within the past year, as assessed by the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (KSADS) interview (Kaufman et al., 1997) administered at the first and last study visits. Written informed consent was obtained from legal guardians, and children provided written assent. Study procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Washington. See Appendix S1 for more details.

#### Measures

*Exposure to stressors.* Stressful life events occurring in the past month were assessed at each study visit using the UCLA Life Stress Interview (Hammen, 1988), a semistructured interview designed to objectively measure the impact of life events. Structured prompts query numerous life domains, including peer relationships, academics, and health. Research staff coded the severity of each experience for a child of that age and sex on a scale from 1 (none) to 5 (extremely severe), including half-points (transformed to a 0–8 integer scale for analyses). Following prior work, a monthly total stress score was computed by summing severity scores across all reported events (Hammen, Henry, & Daley, 2000). This interview has been extensively validated, adapted for adolescents, and considered the gold standard for assessing SLEs (Daley et al., 1997). See Appendix S1 for more details.

#### Anxiety

Anxiety symptoms were measured monthly with the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7), which queries symptoms occurring in the last two weeks. Items are scored on a Likert scale ranging from 0 to 3 and summed to create a symptom severity score. The GAD-7 has good reliability and validity (Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Löwe, 2006), and had good internal consistency across all months in the current study ( $\alpha = .80-.90$ ).

#### Depression

Depression symptoms were measured monthly with the Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item scale (PHQ-9), which assesses depression symptoms occurring in the last two weeks. Items are scored on a Likert scale ranging from 0 to 3 and summed to create a symptom severity score. The PHQ-9 has good reliability and validity (Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001), and had good internal consistency across all months in the current study ( $\alpha = .76$ –.90).

#### Sleep

We monitored sleep passively via an actigraphy wristband worn continuously for the duration of the study. The original device (Microsoft Band 2) was discontinued early in the study, after which we switched all participants to a Fitbit Charge 2. Sleep onset and offset estimates were highly similar between the two devices (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .80), and data from both devices were used for the present analyses (see Appendix S1 for details). The wristbands used accelerometer data collected in 1-minute epochs and proprietary algorithms to detect sleep and awake states. No changes were made to individual records nor the devices' default algorithms for the detection of sleep. These devices have been validated in healthy adults against polysomnography and EEG, with excellent sensitivity (i.e., ability to detect true sleep) and adequate specificity (i.e., ability **1118** Constanza M. Vidal Bustamante et al.

to detect true wake; Liang, Alberto, & Martell, 2018; de Zambotti, Goldstone, Claudatos, Colrain, & Baker, 2018). We collected a total of 6,824 daily sleep observations (see Appendix S1 and Figures S1 and S2 for information on missing data).

*Sleep duration.* We computed daily sleep duration in hours, aggregating over potentially multiple sleep events in the same day (e.g., naps, fragmented night sleep), and not including awake time between sleep events.

*Regularity of sleep timing.* We used minute-level actigraphy data to compute a modified version of the Sleep Regularity Index developed by (Phillips et al., 2017). For each study day *i*, we computed the percentage of total minutes in the day at which each participant was in the same state (asleep vs. awake) as in their average sleep day. An individual who sleeps and wakes up at exactly the same time on both day *i* and on their average sleep day would get a score of 100 for day *i*. See Appendix S1 for more details.

## Statistical analysis

Actigraphy data processing. Daily sleep metrics were computed over 24 hr defined from 7 pm to 7 pm and were then aggregated into concurrent, previous, and subsequent sleep relative to the monthly SLEs, anxiety, and depression assessments. Concurrent sleep corresponded to the sleep data in the two weeks prior to the monthly visit for internalizing symptoms and to the full month prior to the monthly visit for SLEs (i.e., the same time period for which symptoms/life events were assessed). For all assessments, previous sleep corresponded to the two weeks prior to the period of concurrent sleep, and subsequent sleep to the two weeks following the monthly visit. For each period, we computed the mean and standard deviation of sleep duration and sleep timing regularity, and used both summary metrics for analysis.

*Power.* Power analyses indicated our design yields greater than 80% statistical power to detect small effects at the withinperson level (see Appendix S2 and Figure S3 for details).

*Statistical modeling.* We estimated models to test the following within-person associations over the twelve study timepoints: (a) SLEs and symptoms of anxiety and depression, (b) SLEs and sleep, and (c) and sleep and internalizing symptoms. Finally, we performed a mediation analysis to evaluate whether fluctuations in sleep behavior were a mechanism prospectively linking SLEs with internalizing symptoms.

We conducted Bayesian hierarchical (i.e., multilevel) models specifying a random effect of intercept per subject to account for repeated sampling. To isolate within-person associations, we used a standard centering approach that orthogonalizes variation in a given predictor into between- and within-person variability (Enders & Tofighi, 2007), correcting for the dependent nature of the data within-subjects, and controlling for trait-level characteristics of each predictor. We computed both concurrent and lagged models to assess within-person associations in the same time period and the subsequent time period. In models where sleep was used as predictor, both the mean and standard deviation were used together in the same model. Month of observation (i.e., time) and proportion of school days in the tested time period were included as nuisance covariates. Actigraphy device and lifetime diagnosis of anxiety or depression were examined as a potential moderator of these paths, and no significant interactions emerged (see Appendix S2). Highest posterior density 95% credible intervals (CR) were calculated for all parameters. Parameter estimates were interpreted as statistically significant if the CR did not contain zero. Models were estimated in R3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019) using the Stan modeling language (Stan Development Team, 2018) and the packages

*brms* (v2.10.0; Bürkner, 2017) and *sjstats* (v0.17.6; Lüdecke, 2019). See Appendix S2 and Table S2 for more information on model specification.

J Child Psychol Psychiatr 2020; 61(10): 1116-1125

Within-person mediation models (i.e., a level 1-1-1 mediation) were estimated when we found significant associations between predictor and putative mediator, and between mediator and outcome, by combining coefficients from two separate Bayesian hierarchical models. The first model, from predictor to mediator, yielded an estimate of the coefficient for the *proximal indirect path* (*a*); the second model, with the predictor and mediator regressed on the dependent variable, yielded coefficients for the *distal indirect path* (*b*) and the *direct path* (*c*). Coefficients from the *a* and *b* paths were multiplied to calculate the *indirect effect*, and this in turn was divided by the *total effect* (*indirect* + *c*) to quantify the proportion of variance mediated. 95% CRs were calculated for the indirect effect and proportion of variance mediated. All code and data are posted to Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/nhfsc/).

## Results

## Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics for SLEs, internalizing symptoms, and sleep variables are presented in Table 1. On average, participants reported mild-to-moderate levels of anxiety and depression (Figure 1), slept 7.26 hr/day, and had a sleep timing regularity index of 87.98% (i.e., participants were in the same awake/asleep state as in their average sleep day on 21/24 hr; see Figure 2). Pearson correlations between all variables are presented in Table S1.

## Stressful life events and internalizing symptoms

Bayesian hierarchical models showed that at the within-person level, when adolescents experienced higher levels of SLEs than typical for them, they also experienced greater internalizing symptoms. Within-person fluctuations in SLEs were positively associated with increases in anxiety symptoms in the same month (Figure 3A) and increases in depression the following month (Figure 3B). See Table 2 for details.

## Stressful life events and sleep

Within-subjects, higher exposure to SLEs than one's own average was associated with more variable sleep duration, both concurrently and prospectively (Figure 3C). We did not find significant associations of within-person fluctuations in SLEs with sleep timing regularity.

#### Sleep and internalizing symptoms

Within-subjects, more variable sleep duration than usual was associated with higher levels of concurrent anxiety (Figure 3D). In addition, higher variability in the sleep timing regularity index predicted higher levels of subsequent anxiety (Figure 3E) and depression (Figure 3F). We did not find significant within-person associations of anxiety or depression with subsequent sleep duration or timing regularity.

Table 1	l Desci	riptive	statistics	and	ICC	for	each	dependent	variable
---------	---------	---------	------------	-----	-----	-----	------	-----------	----------

Dependent variable	N	п	М	SD	Range	Possible range	ICC
Stressful life events (UCLA life stress interview)	30	356	2.500	3.329	0–19	0–N/A	0.246
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	30	355	5.118	3.842	0-14	0-21	0.611
Depression (PHQ-9)	30	355	5.454	4.102	0-17	0–27	0.620
Daily sleep duration (in hours)	30	6,824	7.262	1.889	0.226-21.945	0–N/A	0.124
School days	30	3,342	6.836	1.693	0.845-21.945	0–N/A	0.191
No-school days	30	3,482	7.670	1.975	0.226-17.867	0–N/A	0.134
Daily sleep timing regularity index	30	6,824	87.984	10.510	14.110-100	0-100	0.390
School days	30	3,342	90.204	9.268	31.288–99.931	0-100	0.477
No-school days	30	3,482	85.853	11.171	14.110-100	0-100	0.405
Sleep duration mean (two-week aggregated, in hours)	30	578	7.183	1.178	1.708-11.783	0–N/A	0.383
Sleep duration variability (two-week aggregated, in hours)	30	567	1.561	0.805	0.024-7.631	0–N/A	0.408
Sleep timing regularity mean (two-week aggregated)	30	578	86.673	8.907	38.021-97.051	0-100	0.651
Sleep timing regularity variability (two-week aggregated)	30	567	6.819	4.126	0.372–36.068	0–N/A	0.294

ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; M = mean; n = number of observations across participants; N = number of participants; SD = standard deviation.



Figure 1 Boxplots show the distribution of: (A) SLEs, (B) anxiety symptoms, and (C) depression symptoms per subject across monthly sessions. Dashed lines show the group mean. Spaghetti plots show their distribution per subject over time (D-F). Solid lines show linear fit line for the group [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

# Sleep as a mediator linking stressful life events with internalizing symptoms

There was one possible mediation model for which each arm of the indirect path showed significant associations. Namely, within-person increases in SLEs prospectively predicted more variable sleep duration, and, in turn, more variable sleep duration predicted increases in anxiety symptoms. A withinperson mediation model for these associations found that fluctuations in sleep duration variability mediated 28.45% (95% CR: [0.19%, 82.68%]) of the total relationship between SLEs and anxiety (indirect effect median = 0.019, 95% CR: [0.001, 0.042]; Figure 4).

## Discussion

The present multiwave longitudinal study used actigraphy to examine sleep behavior as a potential

mechanism linking monthly within-person fluctuations in SLEs and internalizing symptoms among adolescents over a one-year period. Within-person increases in exposure to SLEs were associated with increases in anxiety and depression symptoms and prospectively predicted increased variability in sleep duration. Within-person increases in sleep duration variability, in turn, predicted increases in anxiety symptoms and mediated the association between SLEs and anxiety.

We replicate previous research showing that within-person increases in SLEs were concurrently and prospectively associated with changes in internalizing symptoms (Jenness et al., 2019). Here, within-person increases in SLEs were associated with increased symptoms of anxiety in the same month and with increased symptoms of depression the following month. We are unaware of other work examining the within-person coupling of SLEs and internalizing symptoms at this time scale, and these



**Figure 2** Boxplots show the distribution of (A) sleep duration in hours and (B) sleep timing regularity per subject across study days. Dashed lines show the group means. Spaghetti plots show the distribution of these sleep variables per subject over time (C, D) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



Figure 3 Within-person fluctuations in SLEs were positively associated with concurrent anxiety symptoms (A), subsequent depression symptoms (B), and subsequent sleep duration variability (C). Within-person fluctuations in sleep duration variability were positively associated with concurrent anxiety symptoms (D). Within-person variability in sleep timing regularity was positively associated with subsequent anxiety symptoms (E) and subsequent depression symptoms (F). *X*-axis reflects within-person mean-centered variables, and *y*-axis reflects raw variables (not mean-centered). Black line with shading indicates estimated effect from Bayesian hierarchical model with 95% CR (15,000 samples)

findings warrant replication in other high-frequency longitudinal designs. Nonetheless, they suggest that within-person increases in SLEs may have more immediate effects on anxiety and that influences on depression emerge more slowly.

Our findings extend prior literature on stress and sleep disturbances. Specifically, we found that within-person increases in SLEs predicted concurrent and subsequent increases in sleep duration variability. Exposure to SLEs might elicit hyperarousal and rumination (Sadeh & Gruber, 2002), and/or behaviors aimed at mitigating the source of stress (e.g., completing schoolwork late at night), both of which can interfere with regular sleep patterns. To compensate, adolescents might sleep longer than usual on subsequent nights, thus leading to inconsistent sleep duration over time. Of note, we did not find a significant association between

#### Table 2 Results of Bayesian hierarchical models

	Within-	person eff	ects: Concurrent	Within-person effects: Subsequent			
Model	В	SE	95% CR	В	SE	95% CR	
Stressful life events predicting							
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	0.148	0.048	[0.053 0.242]	0.090	0.050	[-0.006 0.191]	
Depression (PHQ-9)	0.090	0.050	[-0.006 0.191]	0.129	0.051	[0.029 0.228]	
Mean sleep duration	-0.015	0.018	[-0.051 0.021]	-0.003	0.021	[-0.045 0.036]	
Variability in sleep duration	0.030	0.014	[0.002 0.057]	0.041	0.016	[0.010 0.070]	
Mean sleep timing regularity index	-0.050	0.123	[-0.292 0.192]	0.037	0.135	[-0.225 0.307]	
Variability in sleep timing regularity index	0.042	0.065	[-0.088 0.166]	-0.005	0.075	[-0.150 0.144]	
Mean sleep duration predicting							
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	-0.321	0.181	[-0.680 0.029]	0.095	0.170	[-0.233 0.432]	
Depression (PHQ-9)	-0.053	0.190	[-0.428 0.321]	0.036	0.178	[-0.313 0.377]	
Variability (SD) in sleep duration predicting							
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	0.481	0.199	[0.085 0.869]	0.055	0.241	[-0.413 0.533]	
Depression (PHQ-9)	-0.022	0.210	[-0.423 0.388]	-0.181	0.248	[-0.674 0.302]	
Mean sleep regularity timing index predicting							
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	0.005	0.037	$[-0.070 \ 0.075]$	0.059	0.032	[-0.005 0.121]	
Depression (PHQ-9)	0.078	0.038	[0.003 0.153]	0.074	0.034	[ 0.009 0.142]	
Variability (SD) in sleep timing regularity index	redicting						
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7)	0.042	0.050	[-0.055 0.143]	0.178	0.047	[0.085 0.271]	
Depression (PHQ-9)	0.069	0.053	[-0.037 0.168]	0.133	0.049	[0.039 0.232]	
Generalized anxiety (GAD-7) predicting							
Mean sleep duration	-0.045	0.023	[-0.090 - 0.000]	0.005	0.023	[-0.040 0.050]	
Variability in sleep duration	0.042	0.021	[0.000 0.081]	0.030	0.017	[-0.003 0.064]	
Mean sleep timing regularity index	-0.010	0.126	[-0.260 0.236]	-0.101	0.149	[-0.386 0.193]	
Variability in sleep timing regularity index	0.086	0.093	[-0.099 0.269]	0.036	0.083	[-0.126 0.199]	
Depression (PHQ-9) predicting							
Mean sleep duration	-0.009	0.023	$[-0.052 \ 0.037]$	0.034	0.022	$[-0.009 \ 0.077]$	
Variability in sleep duration	-0.002	0.020	[-0.041 0.038]	0.019	0.016	[-0.013 0.051]	
Mean sleep timing regularity index	0.217	0.122	[-0.020 0.459]	-0.041	0.142	[-0.323 0.233]	
Variability in sleep timing regularity index	0.037	0.090	[-0.140 0.213]	0.078	0.078	[-0.077 0.232]	

Bold denotes statistically significant effect. Where sleep duration and the sleep regularity index are used as predictors, the mean and standard deviation of each of these sleep variables were used within the same model. Additionally, all models were run controlling for subject-level average of the predictor of interest (centered around the sample mean), study visit number (i.e., time), and proportion of days in school over the time period tested.

B = unstandardized coefficient; CR = 95% credible interval (15,000 samples); SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error.

SLEs and sleep timing regularity. One possible explanation is that, due to set school schedules, stress-induced variability in sleep duration was not large enough to result in significant changes in sleep timing.

Moreover, although prior work has demonstrated that adolescents with internalizing disorders experience sleep disturbances (Wilson et al., 2019), we present new evidence at the within-person level that disruptions in sleep may contribute to the emergence of internalizing symptoms. Increased sleep duration variability was concurrently associated with heightened anxiety symptoms, suggesting that getting inconsistent amounts of sleep might have relatively short-term influences on symptoms like worry and nervousness. In fact, laboratorybased studies with adults have found increased self-reported anxiety shortly following sleep deprivation (Sagaspe et al., 2006; Talbot, McGlinchey, Kaplan, Dahl, & Harvey, 2010). Furthermore, greater variability in sleep timing regularity predicted increases in anxiety and depression symptoms in the subsequent time period, suggesting



**Figure 4** Within-person variability in sleep duration mediated the prospective association between SLEs and anxiety. Figure shows Bayesian mediation model results and 95% highest posterior density credible interval (CR) displayed in brackets (15,000 samples). Density plot displays the posterior density of the estimated proportion mediated (solid line indicates median estimate; dashed lines indicate 95% CR)

J Child Psychol Psychiatr 2020; 61(10): 1116-1125

that more dramatic changes in sleep timing, perhaps through disrupted circadian mechanisms, might take a protracted, cumulative toll on internalizing symptoms. These effects could be explained by elevations in negative affect, reduced energy levels, and anhedonia, as found in prior research linking sustained sleep irregularity (e.g., shift work, frequent time zone changes) with the subsequent onset of mood disorders (McClung, 2013).

In contrast, we found no significant associations of anxiety and depression symptoms with subsequent sleep patterns. Prior studies have sometimes (Alvaro et al., 2017; Shanahan et al., 2014), but not always (Roberts & Duong, 2017), observed bidirectional associations between sleep and internalizing disorders, though this work has relied on self-reported sleep behavior and a small number of assessments taken severalmonthsapart.Itisalsopossiblethattheseeffects might not emerge until internalizing problems become more clinically meaningful than in the current sample, where most adolescents reported mild-moderate internalizing symptoms. Greater high-frequency longitudinal research is needed to better establish the directionality of these associations within individuals.

Bringing these findings together, our mediation analysis provides preliminary evidence for the role of inconsistent sleep duration as a pathway linking SLEs with symptoms of anxiety. We found that intraindividual variability in sleep duration accounts for a significant proportion of the within-person association between exposure to SLEs and heightened anxiety symptoms. In line with previous studies linking poor sleep quality with disruptions in emotion regulation (Palmer & Alfano, 2017), it is possible that adjusting to stress-induced inconsistency in sleep duration might impede effective reappraisal of negative affect and fuel maladaptive strategies like rumination and suppression. Difficulties with emotion regulation are well-established risk factors for internalizing psychopathology (Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010; Michl et al., 2013). Although future research should investigate in greater detail the cognitive, affective, and biological underpinnings of these associations, this work suggests that passive monitoring of sleep behavior via actigraphy could provide a useful target for interventions aiming to reduce the emergence of anxiety and depression following stress exposure in adolescence (Blake, Latham, Blake, & Allen, 2019).

Finally, our study found substantial intraindividual variability in SLEs, sleep behavior, and internalizing symptoms over the course of a year, thus reinforcing the importance of intensive longitudinal research that looks beyond individual- and grouplevel averages. Indeed, we found that increases in intraindividual variability in sleep behavior had stronger associations with SLEs and internalizing symptoms than fluctuations in mean sleep behavior. In the context of this study, this suggests that inconsistency in an individual's sleep over short periods of time (within two weeks) has stronger associations with anxiety and depression than changes in that individual's overall sleep from month to month. These findings might explain why studies using average sleep metrics and brief study periods have found mixed results, including unidirectional (Roberts & Duong, 2017), bidirectional (Alvaro et al., 2017), or no associations between sleep behavior and internalizing symptoms in adolescence (Cohodes et al., 2019). Ultimately, our findings provide support for interventions that promote consistent sleep schedules among adolescents (Bei, Manber, Allen, Trinder, & Wiley, 2017; Dahl & Harvey, 2007; Hummer & Lee, 2016).

Important limitations should be addressed in future research. First, we used two off-the-shelf actigraphy wristbands. Although sleep estimates were highly reliable between the two devices, we would have ideally used the same device throughout the study. In addition, while these devices have been validated in adults, and similar products have been validated in adolescents (Lee et al., 2019; de Zambotti et al., 2016), a validation of the exact device we used in adolescents is warranted. Lastly, researchgrade devices might have further improved sleep estimation accuracy, although this must be balanced with the ability to get adolescents to wear the device over a long time period. Commercial actigraphy wristbands were more appealing to the teenagers in our study than research-grade actigraphs, which we prioritized to increase compliance rates in this intensive year-long study.

Furthermore, although our study design allowed us to establish temporal precedence between our variables of interest (critical for mediation and causal inference), an observational study cannot rule out unmeasured variables underlying shared causes of SLEs, sleep, and internalizing symptoms. Future longitudinal work should attempt to replicate our findings and extend this work by investigating other dimensions of sleep (e.g., sleep fragmentation and subjective sleep satisfaction), sex and developmental effects (e.g., puberty-driven changes in circadian and homeostatic systems underlying fluctuations in sleep and mood across adolescence), and the potential heterogeneity of these associations between and within individuals at varying time windows. For example, while our aggregating approach over two-week and monthlong time periods allows for more stable and meaningful estimates of sleep, SLEs, and internalizing symptoms, daily-level analyses combining actigraphy with ecological momentary assessments of affect and stress might inform how these relationships play out more immediately. Moreover, future research could apply clustering techniques to investigate distinct sleep-stress-internalizing symptom phenotypes between individuals and/or idiographic models to assess changes in these associations within individuals over time.

## Conclusion

Stressful life events are strongly associated with the emergence of anxiety and depression in adolescence, but the mechanisms underlying this relationship remain poorly understood, particularly at the withinperson level. Using an intensive longitudinal approach, we found substantial intraindividual variability in adolescents' SLEs, actigraphy-based sleep behavior, and anxiety and depression symptoms over the course of a year. Within-person increases in SLEs were associated with more variable sleep duration, and greater variability in both the duration and timing of sleep predicted heightened internalizing symptoms over time. More variable sleep duration, in turn, significantly mediated the association between SLEs and anxiety symptoms. This work provides new insights into the nature and directionality of associations between SLEs, sleep, and internalizing symptoms over time, and suggests that interventions promoting regular sleep schedules in adolescence may help mitigate risk for stress-related psychopathology.

## **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Appendix S1. Supplementary methods.

Appendix S2. Supplementary statistical analysis.

**Table S1.** Results of Bayesian hierarchical modelsusing Beta distribution specification.

**Table S2**. Correlation matrix for all dependent variables.

Figure S1. Available actigraphy data.

**Figure S2**. Distribution of missing sleep data by SLEs and anxiety and depression symptoms.

Figure S3. Statistical power for within-person effects.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (R56-119194) to K.M., a One Mind Foundation Rising Star Award to K.M., and a Jacobs Foundation Early Career Research Fellowship to K.M. The authors are grateful to Debbie Bitran, Lucy Lurie, Elizabeth McNeilly, Zoe Miles, and Azure Reid-Russell for help with participant recruitment and testing, Alex Ramsdell for technical support, Steve Worthington for statistical modeling advice, and the Stress and Development Lab at Harvard University for helpful discussion. The authors have declared that they have no competing or potential conflicts of interest.

## Correspondence

Constanza M. Vidal Bustamante, Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Northwest Science Building 280.05, 52 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; Email: cvidal@g.harvard.edu

## **Key points**

- Stressful life events (SLEs) are strongly associated with the emergence of anxiety and depression in adolescence, but the mechanisms remain poorly understood, particularly at the within-person level.
- Our year-long intensive longitudinal study used actigraphy to examine sleep behavior as a potential mechanism linking SLEs and internalizing symptoms in a community sample of adolescents.
- Within-person increases in SLEs were associated with higher anxiety symptoms that same month, higher depression symptoms the following month, and increased variability in sleep duration both concurrently and prospectively.
- In turn, within-person increases in sleep duration variability predicted increases in anxiety symptoms and mediated the association between SLEs and anxiety.
- Interventions promoting regular sleep schedules in adolescence may help mitigate risk for stress-related psychopathology.

## References

- Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 217– 237.
- Alvaro, P.K., Roberts, R.M., Harris, J.K., & Bruni, O. (2017). The direction of the relationship between symptoms of insomnia and psychiatric disorders in adolescents. *Journal* of Affective Disorders, 207, 167–174.
- Becker, S.P., Sidol, C.A., Van Dyk, T.R., Epstein, J.N., & Beebe, D.W. (2017). Intraindividual variability of sleep/ wake patterns in relation to child and adolescent functioning: A systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 34, 94–121.
- Bei, B., Manber, R., Allen, N.B., Trinder, J., & Wiley, J.F. (2017). Too long, too short, or too variable? Sleep intraindividual variability and its associations with perceived sleep quality and mood in adolescents during naturalistically unconstrained sleep. *Sleep*, 40, zsw067.

- Bernert, R.A., Merrill, K.A., Braithwaite, S.R., Van Orden, K.A.,
  & Joiner, T.E. (2007). Family life stress and insomnia symptoms in a prospective evaluation of young adults. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 21, 58–66.
- Biddle, D.J., Robillard, R., Hermens, D.F., Hickie, I.B., & Glozier, N. (2015). Accuracy of self-reported sleep parameters compared with actigraphy in young people with mental ill-health. *Sleep Health: Journal of the National Sleep Foundation*, 1, 214–220.
- Blake, M.J., Latham, M.D., Blake, L.M., & Allen, N.B. (2019). Adolescent-sleep-intervention research: Current state and future directions. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 28, 475–482.
- Bürkner, P.C. (2017). brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 80, 1–28.
- Cohodes, E.M., Abusch, A., Odriozola, P., & Gee, D.G. (2019). Novel insights from actigraphy: Anxiety is associated with sleep quantity but not quality during childhood. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 25, 189–199.
- Conklin, A.I., Yao, C.A., & Richardson, C.G. (2018). Chronic sleep deprivation and gender-specific risk of depression in adolescents: a prospective population-based study. *BMC Public Health*, 18, 724.
- Czeisler, C.A. (2015). Duration, timing and quality of sleep are each vital for health, performance and safety. *Sleep Health*, *1*, 5–8.
- Dahl, R.E., & Harvey, A.G. (2007). Sleep in children and adolescents with behavioral and emotional disorders. *Sleep Medicine Clinics*, 2, 501–511.
- Daley, S.E., Hammen, C., Burge, D., Davila, J., Paley, B., Lindberg, N., & Herzberg, D.S. (1997). Predictors of the generation of episodic stress: A longitudinal study of late adolescent women. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 106, 251–259.
- de Zambotti, M., Baker, F.C., Willoughby, A.R., Godino, J.G., Wing, D., Patrick, K., & Colrain, I.M. (2016). Measures of sleep and cardiac functioning during sleep using a multisensory commercially-available wristband in adolescents. *Physiology and Behavior*, 158, 143–149.
- de Zambotti, M., Goldstone, A., Claudatos, S., Colrain, I.M., & Baker, F.C. (2018). A validation study of Fitbit Charge 2<sup>™</sup> compared with polysomnography in adults. *Chronobiology International*, *35*, 465–476.
- Doane, L.D., & Thurston, E.C. (2014). Associations among sleep, daily experiences, and loneliness in adolescence: Evidence of moderating and bidirectional pathways. *Journal* of Adolescence, 37, 145–154.
- Enders, C.K., & Tofighi, D. (2007). Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel models: A new look at an old issue. *Psychological Methods*, *12*, 121–138.
- Grant, K.E., Compas, B.E., Stuhlmacher, A.F., Thurm, A.E., Mcmahon, S.D., & Halpert, J.A. (2003). Stressors and child and adolescent psychopathology: Moving from markers to mechanisms of risk. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 447–46.
- Hammen, C. (1988). Self-cognitions, stressful events, and the prediction of depression in children of depressed mothers. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 16, 347–360.
- Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 1, 293–319.
- Hammen, C., Henry, R., & Daley, S.E. (2000). Depression and sensitization to stressors among young women as a function of childhood adversity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 782–787.
- Hummer, D.L., & Lee, T.M. (2016). Daily timing of the adolescent sleep phase: Insights from a cross-species comparison. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 70, 171– 181.
- Jenness, J.L., Peverill, M., King, K.M., Hankin, B.L., & McLaughlin, K.A. (2019). Dynamic associations between stressful life events and adolescent internalizing

psychopathology in a multiwave longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *128*, 596–609.

- Kaufman, J., Birmaher, B., Brent, D., Rao, U., Flynn, C., Moreci, P., ... & Ryan, N. (1997). Schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children-present and lifetime version (K-SADS-PL): Initial reliability and validity data. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 36, 980–988.
- Kendler, K.S., Hettema, J.M., Butera, F., Gardner, C.O., & Prescott, C.A. (2003). Life event dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. Archives of General Psychiatry, 60, 789.
- Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K.R., & Walters, E.E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-ofonset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62, 593.
- Killgore, W.D.S. (2010). Effects of sleep deprivation on cognition. Progress in Brain Research, 185, 105–129.
- Kim, E.-J., & Dimsdale, J.E. (2007). The effect of psychosocial stress on sleep: A review of polysomnographic evidence. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, 5, 256–278.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R.L., & Williams, J.B. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16, 606–613.
- Larson, R., & Ham, M. (1993). Stress and "storm and stress" in early adolescence: The relationship of negative events with dysphoric affect. *Developmental Psychology*, *29*, 130–140.
- Leadbeater, B.J., Blatt, S.J., & Quinlan, D.M. (1995). Genderlinked vulnerabilities to depressive symptoms, stress, and problem behaviors in adolescents. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 5, 1–29.
- Lee, X.K., Chee, N.I.Y.N., Ong, J.L., Teo, T.B., Van Rijn, E., Lo, J.C., & Chee, M.W.L. (2019). Validation of a consumer sleep wearable device with actigraphy and polysomnography in adolescents across sleep opportunity manipulations. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, 15, 1337–1346.
- Liang, Z., Alberto, M., & Martell, C. (2018). Validity of consumer activity wristbands and wearable EEG for measuring overall sleep parameters and sleep structure in freeliving conditions. *Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research*, 2, 152–178.
- Lüdecke, D. (2019). sjstats: Statistical Functions for Regression Models (Version 0.17.6).
- Markon, K.E., Chmielewski, M., & Miller, C.J. (2011). The reliability and validity of discrete and continuous measures of psychopathology: A quantitative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137, 856–879.
- McClung, C.A. (2013). How might circadian rhythms control mood? Let me count the ways. *Biological Psychiatry*, 74, 242–249.
- McLaughlin, K.A., Greif Green, J., Gruber, M.J., Sampson, N.A., Zaslavsky, A.M., & Kessler, R.C. (2012). Childhood adversities and first onset of psychiatric disorders in a national sample of US adolescents. Archives of General Psychiatry, 69, 1151.
- Michl, L.C., McLaughlin, K.A., Shepherd, K., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2013). Rumination as a mechanism linking stressful life events to symptoms of depression and anxiety: Longitudinal evidence in early adolescents and adults. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122, 339–352.
- Murphy, M.J., & Peterson, M.J. (2015). Sleep disturbances in depression. *Sleep Medicine Clinics*, 10, 17–23.
- Palmer, C.A., & Alfano, C.A. (2017). Sleep and emotion regulation: An organizing, integrative review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, *31*, 6–16.
- Paus, T., Keshavan, M., & Giedd, J.N. (2008). Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during adolescence? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 9, 947–957.

5

- Phillips, A.J.K., Clerx, W.M., O'Brien, C.S., Sano, A., Barger, L.K., Picard, R.W., ... & Czeisler, C.A. (2017). Irregular sleep/wake patterns are associated with poorer academic performance and delayed circadian and sleep/wake timing. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3216.
- R Core Team (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Roberts, R.E., & Duong, H.T. (2017). Is there an association between short sleep duration and adolescent anxiety disorders? *Sleep Medicine*, *30*, 82–87.
- Sadeh, A., & Gruber, R. (2002). Stress and sleep in adolescence: A clinical-developmental perspective. In M.A. Carskadon (Ed.), Adolescent sleep patterns: Biological, social, and psychological influences (pp. 236–253). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Sagaspe, P., Sanchez-Ortuno, M., Charles, A., Taillard, J., Valtat, C., Bioulac, B., & Philip, P. (2006). Effects of sleep deprivation on Color-Word, Emotional, and Specific Stroop interference and on self-reported anxiety. *Brain and Cognition*, 60, 76–87.
- Shanahan, L., Copeland, W.E., Angold, A., Bondy, C.L., & Costello, E.J. (2014). Sleep problems predict and are predicted by generalized anxiety/depression and oppositional defiant disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 53, 550–558.
- Silva, G.E., Goodwin, J.L., Sherrill, D.L., Arnold, J.L., Bootzin, R.R., Smith, T., ... & Quan, S.F. (2007). Relationship between reported and measured sleep times: The Sleep

Heart Health Study (SHHS). Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine, 3, 622–630.

Spitzer, R.L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J.B.W., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder. Archives of Internal Medicine, 166, 1092.

Stan Development Team (2018). The Stan Core Library.

- Talbot, L.S., McGlinchey, E.L., Kaplan, K.A., Dahl, R.E., & Harvey, A.G. (2010). Sleep deprivation in adolescents and adults: changes in affect. *Emotion (Washington, D.C.)*, 10, 831–841.
- Veeramachaneni, K., Slavish, D., Dietch, J., Kelly, K., & Taylor, D. (2019). Intraindividual variability in sleep and perceived stress in young adults. *Sleep Health*, 5, 572–579.
- Weiner, C.L., Elkins, M.R., Pincus, D., & Comer, J. (2015). Anxiety sensitivity and sleep-related problems in anxious youth. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 32, 66–72.
- Wheaton, A.G., Jones, S.E., Cooper, A.C., & Croft, J.B. (2018).
  Short sleep duration among middle school and high school students United States, 2015. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 67, 85–90.
- Wilson, C., Carpenter, J., & Hickie, I. (2019). The role of the sleep-wake cycle in adolescent mental illness. *Current Sleep Medicine Reports*, 5, 118–127.
- Zhang, J., Paksarian, D., Lamers, F., Hickie, I.B., He, J., & Merikangas, K.R. (2017). Sleep patterns and mental health correlates in US adolescents. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, *182*, 137–143.

Accepted for publication: 13 February 2020